


# FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY



## KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, ALASKA AND INCORPORATED AREAS



COMMUNITY NAME	COMMUNITY NUMBER
*HOMER, CITY OF	020107
**KACHEMAK, CITY OF	020109
KENAI, CITY OF	020114
KENAI PENINSULA, BOROUGH OF	020012
***SELDOVIA, CITY OF	020120
SEWARD, CITY OF	020113
SOLDOTNA, CITY OF	020014

\*Area Not Included, Flood Insurance Study Published Separately  
\*\*No Special Flood Hazard Areas Identified, Not a NFIP Community  
\*\*\*Not a NFIP Community

**EFFECTIVE**

**SEPTEMBER 27, 2013**



**Federal Emergency Management Agency**

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY NUMBER

02122CV000A

## NOTICE TO FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY USERS

Communities participating in the National Flood Insurance Program have established repositories of flood hazard data for floodplain management and flood insurance purposes. This Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report may not contain all data available within the Community Map Repository. Please contact the Community Map Repository for any additional data.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) may revise and republish part or all of this FIS report at any time. In addition, FEMA may revise part of this FIS report by the Letter of Map Revision process, which does not involve republication or redistribution of the FIS report. Therefore, users should consult with community officials and check the Community Map Repository to obtain the most current FIS report components.

This FIS report was revised on September 27, 2013. Users should refer to Section 10.0, Revisions Description, for further information. Section 10.0 is intended to present the most up-to-date information for specific portions of this FIS report. Therefore, users of this report should be aware that the information presented in Section 10.0 supersedes information in Sections 1.0 through 9.0 of this FIS report.

Selected Flood Insurance Rate Map panels for this community contain information that was previously shown separately on the corresponding Flood Boundary and Floodway Map panels (e.g., floodways, cross sections). In addition, former flood hazard zone designations have been changed for the area in and bordering the city of Seward (Section 10.2) as follows:

<u>Old Zones</u>	<u>New Zones</u>
A1 through A30	AE
V1 through V30	VE
B	X
C	X

Effective Date: September 27, 2013

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1	Purpose of Study .....	1
1.2	Authority and Acknowledgments .....	2
1.3	Coordination .....	2
2.0	AREA STUDIED .....	3
2.1	Scope of Study .....	3
2.2	Community Description.....	4
2.3	Principal Flood Problems.....	8
2.4	Flood Protection Measures .....	13
3.0	ENGINEERING METHODS .....	13
3.1	Hydrologic Analyses.....	13
3.2	Hydraulic Analyses.....	14
3.3	Wave Height Analysis .....	18
3.4	Vertical Datum.....	21
4.0	FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS .....	22
4.1	Floodplain Boundaries .....	22
4.2	Floodways.....	23
4.3	Base Flood Elevations .....	44
4.4	Velocity Zones .....	44
5.0	INSURANCE APPLICATIONS .....	45
6.0	FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP .....	46
7.0	OTHER STUDIES.....	48
8.0	LOCATION OF DATA.....	48
9.0	BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES.....	49
10.0	REVISIONS DESCRIPTION.....	52
10.1	First Revision (Revised December 6, 1999).....	52
10.2	Second Revision (Revised September 27, 2013).....	53

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)**

**FIGURES**

Figure 1 - Transect Schematic ..... 20  
Figure 2 - Floodway Schematic ..... 44

**TABLES**

Table 1 - Floods of Record on the Kenai Peninsula ..... 11  
Table 2 - Summary of Discharges ..... 15  
Table 3 - Summary of Stillwater Elevations ..... 20  
Table 4 - Vertical Datum Conversion ..... 21  
Table 5 - Floodway Data ..... 24  
Table 6 - Community Map History ..... 47

**EXHIBITS**

Exhibit 1 - Flood Profiles	
Bear Creek	Panel 01P – 05P
Grouse Creek	Panel 06P
Kasilof River	Panel 07P – 10P
Kenai River	Panel 11P – 40P
Kwechak River	Panel 41P – 47P
Resurrection River	Panel 48P – 50P
Salmon Creek	Panel 51P – 63P
Salmon Creek Overflow	Panel 64P – 65P
Salmon Creek/Resurrection River Split	Panel 66P
Salmon Creek Split	Panel 67P
Sawmill Creek	Panel 68P – 71P
Sawmill Creek Split	Panel 72P
Exhibit 2 - Flood Insurance Rate Map Index	
Flood Insurance Rate Map	

**FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, ALASKA  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**1.0 INTRODUCTION**

1.1 Purpose of Study

This Flood Insurance Study (FIS) revises and updates information on the existence and severity of flood hazards in the geographic area of Kenai Peninsula Borough, including the Cities of Kenai, Seward, Soldotna, and Seldovia; and the unincorporated areas of Port Graham, English Bay, Nikishka, Ninilchik, Anchor Point, Moose Pass, Cooper Landing, and Hope (referred to collectively herein as Kenai Peninsula Borough), and aids in the administration of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 and the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973. This study has developed flood-risk data for various areas of the community that will be used to establish actuarial flood insurance rates and to assist the community in its efforts to promote sound floodplain management. Minimum floodplain management requirements for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) are set forth in the Code of Federal Regulations at 44 CFR, 60.3.

Please note that on the effective date of this study, the City of Kachemak has no Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). This does not preclude future determinations of SFHAs that could be necessitated by changed conditions affecting the community (i.e. annexation of new lands) or the availability of new scientific or technical data about flood hazards.

Please note that the City of Homer, Alaska is geographically located in the Kenai Peninsula Borough. The City of Homer is not included in this FIS report. See the separately published FIS report and Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) for flood-hazard information.

Please note that this is a partial countywide FIRM, leaving several existing community-based FIRM panels in effect for the communities of Kenai Peninsula Borough, City of Kenai, City of Seldovia and City of Soldotna. The format of this study will depict certain portions of the countywide FIRM as “Areas Not Included” and others as unprinted panels.

The Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) and FIS report for portions of this countywide study have been produced in digital format. Flood hazard information was converted to meet the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) DFIRM database specifications and Geographic Information System (GIS) format requirements. The flood hazard information was created and is provided in a digital format so that it can be incorporated into a local GIS and be accessed more easily by the community.

## 1.2 Authority and Acknowledgments

The sources of authority for this FIS are the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 and the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973.

Information on the authority and acknowledgements for each jurisdiction included in this countywide FIS, as compiled from their previously printed FIS reports, is shown below:

<b>Kenai Peninsula Borough</b> (Incorporated and Unincorporated Areas):	The hydrologic and hydraulic analyses for the 1981 FIS report were performed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, for FEMA, under Interagency Agreement Nos. IAA-H-7-76, Project Order No. 25, and IAA-H-10-77, Project Order No. 2. This work, which was completed in January 1978, covered all significant flooding sources affecting the Kenai Peninsula Borough. The hydrologic and hydraulic analyses for the Kenai River were updated for the 1999 FIS report. The restudy was performed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Alaska District, for FEMA under Interagency Agreement No. EMW-96-IA-0195, Project Order No. 9. This work was completed in December 1997.
---	--

The hydrologic and hydraulic analyses for this 2010 FIS were performed by Northwest Hydraulic Consultants, Inc., for FEMA, under Contract No. EMS-2001-CO-0067, Project Order No. 28. This update included detailed studies of Bear Creek, Grouse Creek, Kwechak River, Resurrection River, Salmon Creek, Salmon Creek/Resurrection River Split, Salmon Creek Overflow and Salmon Creek Split within the City of Seward and surrounding Unincorporated Areas. The work was completed in December 2009.

Base map information shown on the DFIRM was derived from the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), the Kenai Peninsula Borough, and the Alaska Department of Natural Resources produced at a scale of between 1:2,400 to 1:63,360 depending on the data source, data dated 1989 or later. The projection used in the preparation of this map is Transverse Mercator, and the horizontal datum used is North American Datum of 1983.

## 1.3 Coordination

An initial meeting is held with representatives from FEMA, the community, and the study contractor to explain the nature and purpose of a FIS, and to identify the streams to be studied or restudied. A final meeting is held with representatives from FEMA, the community, and the study contractor to review the results of the study.

The initial and final meeting dates for previous FIS reports for Kenai Peninsula Borough and its communities are listed in the following table:

<u>Community</u>	<u>FIS Date</u>	<u>Initial Meeting</u>	<u>Final Meeting</u>
Kenai Peninsula Borough	May 19, 1981	January 28 to 30, 1976	March 3 to 4, 1980
	December 6, 1999	N/A	December 2, 1998

The initial meeting for this 2010 FIS update was held on May 2, 2007, and attended by representatives of FEMA, City of Seward, Kenai Peninsula Borough, Seward/Bear Creek Flood Service Area, Alaska Department of Commerce and Northwest Hydraulic Consultants, Inc.

The results of the study were reviewed at the final meeting held on June 16, 2010, and attended by representatives of FEMA, State of Alaska and Kenai Peninsula Borough. All problems raised at that meeting have been addressed.

## 2.0 AREA STUDIED

### 2.1 Scope of Study

This FIS covers the geographic area of the Kenai Peninsula Borough, Alaska, including the incorporated communities listed in Section 1.1. The areas studied by detailed methods were selected with priority given to all known flood hazards and areas of projected development or proposed construction through 1983.

The areas studied consisted of Kenai River Valley; Kasilof River Valley; Resurrection River Valley; North Kenai lakes area; the areas encompassing the cities of Kenai, Seward, Soldotna, and Seldovia; and the unincorporated areas of Port Graham, English Bay, Nikishka, Ninilchik, Anchor Point, Moose Pass, Cooper Landing, and Hope. Wind-driven-wave patterns in coastal areas adjacent to these areas were studied in order to delineate coastal flooding. Areas not studied consist of the Chugach National Forest, the Kenai National Moose Range, and areas with little or no development potential.

The following streams are studied by detailed methods in this FIS report:

Bear Creek	Kwechak River	Salmon Creek Overflow
Grouse Creek	Resurrection River	Salmon Creek Split
Kenai River	Salmon Creek	Sawmill Creek
Kasilof River	Salmon Creek/Resurrection River Split	

Cook Inlet, Katchemak Bay, and Resurrection Bay were also studied in detail. The watercourses and water bodies studied were chosen after giving consideration

to existing and potential development along each of the streams and to the predicted floodflows and flood widths of each. These streams constitute the principal drainages, which have potential for flood damage, within the study area.

The limits of detailed study are indicated on the Flood Profiles (Exhibit 1) and on the FIRM (Exhibit 2). Bear Creek, Grouse Creek, Resurrection River, Salmon Creek, Salmon Creek/Resurrection River Split, Salmon Creek Overflow, Salmon Creek Split, and Sawmill Creek are new or updated reaches for 2010 FIS revision.

Portions of Kenai River and Cook Inlet were studied by approximate methods. In addition, numerous streams and lakes were studied by approximate methods. Approximate analyses were used to study those areas having low development potential or minimal flood hazards. The effective approximate area boundaries for Clear Creek, Japp Creek, Lowell Creek and Marathon Creek were adjusted for the 2010 FIS revision based on updated topographic data.

For this countywide FIS, the FIS report and FIRM were converted to countywide format, and the flooding information for the entire county, including both incorporated and unincorporated areas, is shown. Also, the vertical datum was converted from the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD) to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD). In addition, the Transverse Mercator, State Plane coordinates, previously referenced to the North American Datum of 1927 (NAD27), are now referenced to the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83).

## 2.2 Community Description

Kenai Peninsula Borough, in the third Judicial District in south-central Alaska, governs an area of more than 25,600 square miles. Kenai Peninsula is surrounded by the Greater Anchorage Area Borough to the north, Cook Inlet to the west, and the Gulf of Alaska to the south and east.

Kenai, Kasilof, and Resurrection Rivers and Sawmill Creek flow from the mountains into coastal waters. Bear, Grouse and Kwechak Creeks are tributaries to Salmon Creek. Salmon Creek is a tributary to the Resurrection River. The Salmon Creek/Resurrection River Split is a side channel connection between Salmon Creek and the Resurrection River. Salmon Creek Overflow and Salmon Creek Split are Salmon Creek side channels. The streams and detailed study areas are described in the following text.

The source of Kenai River is Kenai Lake, which is formed from the runoff from glacial rivers and lakes in steep mountainous terrain. After emerging from the lake, the river flows westerly for approximately 75 miles through the Kenai National Moose Range and privately owned land (near the communities of Sterling and Soldotna) to its outlet in Cook Inlet at Kenai. The entire watershed of the river lies within the Kenai Peninsula Borough. Most of the area is wilderness under Federal control, in either the Chugach National Forest or the

Kenai National Moose Range. The eastern portion of the watershed consists predominantly of steep mountain slopes and many glaciers and icefields. Elevations rise to more than 6,000 feet. From west of the mountains to Cook Inlet, the watershed consists of rolling land and numerous lakes and muskegs. Kenai River is also fed by Moose River, Killey River, Funny River, Soldotna Creek, Slikok Creek, and Beaver Creek. For this study, Kenai River was investigated for approximately 47 river miles, from the Kenai National Moose Range boundary near Skilak Lake to Cook Inlet. Some agricultural land lies along the river, but the principal development is residential and recreational. There is also some commercial development near the mouth.

The floodplain of Kenai River in the study area is, for the most part, very narrow, and development in the area is relatively insignificant. There is ample high ground on each side of the river to provide safe building sites; however, consideration must be given to potential erosion problems. The area is growing rapidly as a recreational area; therefore, greatly increased development can be expected.

Kasilof River, which starts at Tustumena Lake, winds westerly through undeveloped wilderness and emerges at the village of Kasilof on Cook Inlet. The upper portion of the river flows through a narrow canyon and has several rapids due to the steep descent. The lower portion of the river has steep banks and flows through a narrow floodplain. The area is expected to grow rapidly as a recreational area, and the high valley banks and adjacent areas will provide ample ground for safe building sites.

Resurrection River, which has a drainage area of approximately 170 square miles, has its origin near Upper Russian Lake in the Chugach Mountains. From its headwaters, the river flows southeasterly for 22 miles, through the Chugach National Forest and privately owned land, to its outlet in Resurrection Bay at Seward. Unlike Kenai and Kasilof Rivers, Resurrection River has a braided channel and a steep gradient (60 feet in 3 miles). It has a channel bottom of silt, sand, gravel, and boulders; and the overbank areas are heavily covered with timber and brush. The portion of Resurrection River included in this study is from the mouth to a point approximately 3 miles upstream.

Salmon Creek, a tributary of Resurrection River, originates at the terminus of Bear Lake Glacier and flows adjacent to the Seward Highway for approximately 7 miles, generally southerly, to its confluence with Resurrection River. The creek is a glacier-fed stream which traverses a broad alluvial floodplain. Heavy debris and gravel bars cause numerous channel changes. The portion of Salmon Creek studied (approximately 6.5 miles of the stream) is from the mouth to a point just above its confluence with Lost Creek.

Salmon Creek Overflow is a side channel of Salmon Creek. It flows on the west side of the river near the confluence with Kwechak Creek. Salmon Creek Split is

an overflow of Salmon Creek and flows along the east side of the Alaska Railroad and rejoins Salmon Creek downstream.

Development in the Resurrection River-Salmon Creek area has greatly increased, as the City of Seward can expand only in the direction of these streams because the mountains and Resurrection Bay surround the city on the other three sides. There is ample high ground on both sides of these streams to provide safe building sites.

Japp Creek, which was studied by approximate methods, flows into Resurrection River from the east. The creek is a flood threat to the housing development below it.

The North Kenai lakes area, an area protruding into Cook Inlet just north of the City of Kenai, consists of approximately 100 square miles of lakes and lowlands. The area is surrounded by Cook Inlet to the north and west, Kenai to the south, and the Kenai National Moose Range to the east. It is rapidly being developed as a recreational and residential area. There are no major streams in the area, but interconnecting creeks between the numerous lakes constitute a possible flood threat.

Seldovia is a fishing village 140 air miles southwest of Anchorage, near the southern end of the Kenai Peninsula, across Kachemak Bay from Homer. The storm-wave study encompasses most of the eastern shore of Seldovia Bay (including the lagoon), which constitutes the only flood threat to the city. No rivers or significant streams are within the corporate limits of Seldovia; therefore, riverine flooding is not a problem.

Port Graham is a small village on Port Graham Inlet, an inlet off Cook Inlet 22 air miles southwest of Homer. The village is sheltered from the brunt of the storms on Cook Inlet, but it will receive storm-driven waves. The buildings have been constructed on higher ground in order to avoid this type of flooding. Small streams and drainage ditches are present, but they do not cause flood problems.

English Bay, a small village near the tip of Kenai Peninsula, is exposed to Cook Inlet and its storm waves. Buildings were relocated to higher ground on the steep slopes of the mountain after earlier flooding. A runway on a spit below the village could be overtopped, but no flood damage should result from storm waves. A more serious threat is the danger of tsunamis, since English Bay is directly across Cook Inlet from Mt. Augustine, an active volcano on Augustine Island.

Nikishka is a fuel tanker terminal on Cook Inlet, 10 miles north of Kenai. Several docks are subject to severe storm waves. The refineries are on top of steep cliffs and are not in danger from flooding.

Ninilchik, a village on Cook Inlet 38 air miles south of Kenai, is at the mouth of Ninilchik River, and therefore, it is subject to both coastal and river flooding. A narrow spit affords some protection against storm waves and also shelters a small boat basin.

Anchor Point is a small fishing community and recreation area on Cook Inlet, at the mouth of Anchor River 20 miles south of Ninilchik and 15 miles northwest of Homer. It is subject to coastal and riverine flooding as is Ninilchik. Both Ninilchik and Anchor Rivers flow through narrow valleys with little or no development except at their mouths. There is little flood danger except in the developed areas.

Moose Pass, a small community 25 miles north of Seward, is subject to flooding due to its location on Trail Lake, which periodically floods. Numerous streams feed Trail Lake; therefore, the water surface can rise rapidly. In addition, Snow Lake, when blocked by ice, periodically releases a large volume of water which can enter Trail Lake from Moose and Trail Creeks. Wave action on Trail Lake also can cause erosion.

Cooper Landing is a small community on the banks of Kenai River near the outlet of Kenai Lake 48 air miles east of Kenai. Kenai River periodically floods this area, due to both heavy runoff and Snow Lake dumping.

Hope is a small village on the coast of Turnagain Arm, the north coast of the Kenai Peninsula. It is approximately 20 air miles southeast of Anchorage at the mouth of Resurrection Creek. It is, therefore, subject to coastal as well as riverine flooding.

Portions of the Seward and Sterling Highways, primarily consisting of the portions on each side of Moose Pass and Cooper Landing, are included in the study where development is expected and streams cross the highways.

The coastal areas adjacent to some of the cities consist of natural beaches ranging from gradually sloped sand or mud flats to natural rock revetments.

Mean annual precipitation on the Kenai Peninsula varies from a low on the northwest side increasing towards the Gulf of Alaska. Most precipitation on the peninsula occurs during September and October. Snow begins to fall in October and stops in April or early May.

The average annual temperatures, average annual total precipitation and average annual snowfall at Homer, Kasilof, Kenai, and Seward are listed below. Data reported are from the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) Station 503665, at the Homer Airport, for the period 1932 to 2009; NCDC Station 504425 in Kasilof, for the period 1931 to 1997; NCDC Station 504546, at the Kenai Airport, for the period 1949 to 2009; and at NCDC Station 508371, in Seward, for the

period 1949 to 2008. These coastal climatological data stations are at an elevation of 100 feet or less.

<u>Station</u>	<u>Average Annual Temperature (Fahrenheit)</u>	<u>Average Annual Precipitation (inches)</u>	<u>Average Annual Snowfall (inches)</u>
Homer	38	24	55
Kasilof	43	17	52
Kenai	34	19	61
Seward	40	69	84

### 2.3 Principal Flood Problems

Floods on the Kenai Peninsula can occur as a result of a combination of factors, which include heavy snowpack and snowmelt, high tides, and heavy precipitation.

High winds when combined with high tide create storm surge and wave runup, which flood coastal areas. Spring floods on streams may result when an above-normal snowfall during the winter is followed by an unusually warm spring and a rapid snowmelt. Summer and autumn floods usually result from intense precipitation.

In addition, two other situations causing flooding can occur on Kenai River. The first, known as jokulhlaup, occurs when a glacier-dammed lake is suddenly released (Reference 1). When this happens during the winter, the sudden increase in flow raises the ice cover and attempts to move it downstream. Ice jams result and flooding to depths far greater than either the 100- or 500-year flood can occur. The second, ice jams, occurs during spring break up, and it can also cause flooding to depths greater than either the 100- or 500-year flood. The severe nature of Alaskan winters lends itself to these unusual types of flooding. When there is a heavy ice cover on the river, untimely breakups (or jokulhlaups) can cause the ice to jam. Ice jams usually occur at natural restrictions or bends in the river and can cause water to back up and flood low areas.

Floods resulting from the sudden release of glacier-dammed lakes have occurred on the Kenai River in past years. This type of flooding was first recorded in December 1911 and on numerous other occasions up to September 1974. These floods originate from lakes formed either by Snow Glacier, at the head of Snow River, or by Skilak Glacier.

Future floods from most glacier-dammed lakes cannot be estimated reliably by using standard statistical procedures because the hydrologic characteristics of the drainage basin may change suddenly and discontinuously and glacier-dammed lakes which have no previous record of dumping may abruptly begin to do so. In addition, the flood sequence may change drastically, or the reservoir may cease filling due to changes in the glacier. Therefore, peak flows during a flood of this type have not been assigned a frequency nor have jokulhlaups been considered in the development of the maps and flood profiles in this study. As a means of

comparison, however, the September 1974 jokulhlaup attained a peak discharge of 26,800 cubic feet per second (cfs) at Soldotna, and the 1-percent-annual-chance flood, as determined by means of conventional storm-runoff computations, would reach 37,500 cfs at the same location.

Kenai Peninsula is in a zone which has a relatively high probability of strong earthquakes. The most heavily populated part of the coast is directly across Cook Inlet from Mt. Augustine, an active volcano on Augustine Island. Local tsunamis, where discussed in this study, are defined as locally generated waves resulting from massive earth or rock slides (either above or below water), ice falls, seiches, and similar phenomena. Earthquakes may or may not be associated with this type of tsunami, and warning would not be possible. A teleseismic tsunami is defined as a tsunami resulting from an earthquake and is usually caused by displacement of the ocean floor. It generally occurs as a series of waves from the open sea. Local tsunami are an ever-present threat to many communities in Kenai Peninsula Borough. However, there is no way that a frequency can be assigned to an unpredictable event in Alaska due to the relatively short period of record. Tsunami are therefore, not included as elevation figures in this study. Local tsunami should always, however, be a consideration before beginning any construction in the coastal areas.

The frequency of the 1964 teleseismic tsunami has been studied by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station in Vicksburg, Mississippi, and has been determined to exceed the 500-year event. The Waterways Experiment Station determined the 100- and 500-year teleseismic tsunami waves for Seward, Homer, and Seldovia (Reference 2). The 500-year level of inundation by a teleseismic tsunami at all three locations was calculated to be below the 1-percent-annual-chance storm event.

As is typical of most of Alaska, there is little information available concerning historical floods on the Kenai Peninsula. There is no record of a major flood with known discharge and documented water levels. Public agencies and longtime residents, however, can verify that floods have occurred. Information concerning historical floods was obtained primarily from interviews with residents in the area.

Resurrection River and Salmon Creek have overflowed their banks several times and have caused flood damages to the developed areas near their mouths.

Past floods and an analysis of conditions resulting from floods are shown in Table 1. Factors aggravating flooding are natural obstructions such as trees and vegetation along the banks; manmade obstructions such as bridges and boat docks; ice jams; the accumulation along and within the streambed of brush and debris which can be carried downstream by high water; and blocked bridge openings, inadequately sized culverts, or other constrictions.

As mentioned previously, there are several catastrophic situations which can occur in Kenai Peninsula Borough. These include jokulhlaups, ice jams, and local tsunami. All of these problems can not readily be assigned a frequency in Alaska, and are, therefore, not site-specifically evaluated in this study. However, they are a threat and should always be considered when planning development in threatened areas.

Table 1 - Floods of Record on the Kenai Peninsula

<u>Year</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Flooding Conditions</u>
1902	English Bay	St. Augustine eruption caused waves 20 to 30 feet on low tide; minor damage (Reference 3).
1946	Resurrection River	First recorded flood in vicinity of airport; 400 acres inundated.
1947	Cooper Landing	A few basements flooded; water over Sterling Highway in places.
1947	Anchor Point	November rains caused river to top banks, but there were no buildings at that time.
1949	Salmon Creek	Salmon Creek overflowed at approximately River Mile 4; flooded railroad and threatened railroad bridge; floodwaters surrounded Metcalf Country Store.
1951	Resurrection River	Floodwaters rose unexpectedly at night from heavy snowmelt in mountains due to warm weather; wells polluted by surface water; 5 feet of water in Clear Creek area.
1957	Resurrection River	River eroded easterly into Clear Creek drainage and head-water area; old car bodies were dumped in an attempt to halt the erosion.
1957	Moose Pass	Water reached the school and topped the railroad tracks, flooding railroad station.
1960	Resurrection River	River overflowed; heavy floodflows caused bank erosion along the east bank above the highway.
1961	Salmon Creek and Resurrection River	Flooded 8000 feet of highway on Nash Road; 500 feet of airport runway eroded; private homes damaged.
1962	Resurrection River	Heavy floodflows spread out over east side of floodplain; severe bank erosion above and below highway; washed out Airport Road bridge.
1964	Seldovia	15-foot teleseismic tsunami wave (Reference 4).
1964	Homer	20-foot teleseismic tsunami wave; 4 feet over end of spit (Reference 4).
1964	Kenai River	Ice-jam flooding caused five families to evacuate their homes on Ciechanski Road and Rebel Run (Reference 4).
1964	Seward	30- to 40-foot tsunami waves with runup to 49.3 feet (Reference 4).
1964	English Bay	30-foot tsunami wave (Reference 4).
1964	Port Graham	10- to 20-foot tsunami wave (Reference 4).
1967	Kenai River	Ice-jam flooding caused 22 families (81 people) to evacuate their homes; docks, seaplanes, and many homes and businesses damaged; several trailer homes washed away.
1969	Kenai River	Winter jokulhlaup caused ice jams with extensive flooding and damage.
1974	Kenai River	Ice-jam flooding washed out docks and boats and flooded several homes; during autumn, jokulhlaup caused flooding and minor damage.
1974	Salmon Creek	Overbank flows and minor bank erosion; some minor property damage in vicinity of Nash Road crossing.
1976	Cooper Landing	Floodwaters reached top of dock at Post Office.
1976	Port Graham	Cannery flooded by coastal storm.
1976	English Bay	Airport runway partially flooded by coastal storm.
1976	Moose Pass	Water flooded sewer system, closing school.
1976	Salmon Creek	Overbank flows and minor bank erosion. Some minor property damage in vicinity of Nash Road crossing.
1977	Kenai River	Heavy snowmelt caused a 20-year flood in August; glacier lake dumping caused a 20-year flood in September; both resulted in moderate flooding in Salmon Run Acres.

Table 1 - Floods of Record on the Kenai Peninsula (Cont'd)

<u>Year</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Flooding Conditions</u>
1983	Anchor River	Flooding washed out two portions of the Old Sterling Highway; erosion occurred along the south bank of the lower river, particularly along the Old Sterling Highway bridge and public campground.
1984	Anchor River	May/June-High water washed away bridge, flooded private property, and caused significant erosion at the Anchor River State Recreation Area.
1985	Bradley Lake, Homer, Ninilchik, Anchor River	Heavy peninsula-wide rains caused minor erosion damage to Bradley Lake, Homer, and along the Anchor River. Other damage included mud slides at the Ninilchik boat harbor.
1986	Kenai River	Heavy rains on October 10-12 caused flooding damage to a culvert at Beaver Creek and the Spur Highway as well as major bank sloughing along the bluff in Kenai.
1986	Seward	Heavy rains on October 10-12 caused severe damage to bridges, bridge approaches, railroad and highway embankments, and numerous homes and businesses.
1989	Seward	Heavy rains on August 25-27 caused over \$1 million in damage to homes, roads, bridges, etc. Other areas of the Peninsula reported flooding, but sustained less damage.
1989	Kenai River	September-Flooding observed along the South River Bank in the Riverside Lane area (River Mile 15.5). Some homes and trailers affected; up to 1 foot of water on the ground. A half dozen cabins inundated with 1 to 1.5 feet of water in the Castaway Cove Area (River Mile 14.5 and 14.7).
1992	Anchor River	Flooding damage to one home was reported due to an ice jam on the North Fork of the Anchor River.
1993	Seward	Heavy rains on August 26 caused Salmon Creek, Clear Creek, and the Resurrection River to flood. Three homes and one business incurred damage. The railroad tracks at the upper end of Kenai Lake were damaged, and parts of Primrose Road were submerged.
1993	Cooper Landing	Jokulhlaup flooding occurred from the release of water from Snow River Glacial Lake. Yards and docks along the river were submerged.
1994	Homer	Storm undercut ½ mile of newly paved Homer Spit road.
1994	Seldovia	Storm damaged a park in Seldovia and wiped out the runway in Nanwalek.
1995	Kenai Peninsula Borough	Heavy rains from a continuous series of storms caused extensive flooding across South central Alaska. Borough-wide damage estimates exceeded \$5 million. This included extensive damage to public facilities, commercial property and private residences.
1995	Kenai River	In November the lower portion of the Kenai River experienced a flood event that approximated the 1-percent-annual-chance flood discharge figure used in the 1980 Flood Insurance Study.
1995	Seward	Heavy rains associated with a series of storm fronts caused severe flooding in the Seward area. Area roads, bridges, the airport, harbor and many homes and businesses incurred serious flood damage. Road and utility repairs alone were estimated at \$3.5 million.
2002	Kenai Peninsula Borough	Record-setting precipitation and unusually warm temperatures produced widespread flooding in the fall of 2002. Significant floods occurred in October and again in November.
2006	Kenai Peninsula Borough	An October storm brought record-breaking precipitation and high winds over a widespread area.

## 2.4 Flood Protection Measures

With the exception of Lowell Creek, near Seward, there are no flood-control structures on any of the streams in this study. In 1945, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers diverted Lowell Creek (which formerly flowed through the center of Seward) via a tunnel through the mountains. The previous annual flooding has, therefore, been eliminated (Reference 5).

In several of the coastal areas, rock revetments and breakwaters have been constructed to protect boat harbors. Most improvements along the coast are at higher elevations and are well above potential flooding.

## 3.0 **ENGINEERING METHODS**

For the flooding sources studied by detailed methods in the community, standard hydrologic and hydraulic study methods were used to determine the flood hazard data required for this study. Flood events of a magnitude that are expected to be equaled or exceeded once on the average during any 10-, 50-, 100-, or 500-year period (recurrence interval) have been selected as having special significance for floodplain management and for flood insurance rates. These events, commonly termed the 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year floods, have a 10-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2-percent chance, respectively, of being equaled or exceeded during any year. Although the recurrence interval represents the long-term, average period between floods of a specific magnitude, rare floods could occur at short intervals or even within the same year. The risk of experiencing a rare flood increases when periods greater than 1 year are considered. For example, the risk of having a flood that equals or exceeds the 1-percent-annual-chance (100-year) flood in any 50-year period is approximately 40 percent (4 in 10); for any 90-year period, the risk increases to approximately 60 percent (6 in 10). The analyses reported herein reflect flooding potentials based on conditions existing in the community at the time of completion of this study. Maps and flood elevations will be amended periodically to reflect future changes.

### 3.1 Hydrologic Analyses

Hydrologic analyses were carried out to establish peak discharge-frequency relationships for each flooding source studied by detailed methods affecting the community. The analyses included a review of all flood-frequency data for the area and the use of analytical techniques that were best suited to the specific stream data.

Peak discharges were determined by use of the U.S. Geological Survey stream gaging records for Kenai River at Cooper Landing (1947-1978), Kenai River at Soldotna (1965-1978), and Kasilof River at Sterling Highway (1949-1974). All of the streams were evaluated on a regional frequency basis; however, the final analysis of each gaged stream was made based on an annual series. Statistical analyses were conducted in accordance with the Water Resources Council guidelines for determining flood-flow using the Streamflow Synthesis and Reservoir Regulations computer program developed by the U.S. Army Corps of

Engineers (Reference 6). Log-Pearson Type III frequency distribution with a 0.7 recommended skew coefficient was used (Reference 7).

Peak discharge quantiles were updated for several rivers and creeks in or near the City of Seward for this 2010 FIS update. The following watercourses were studied: Resurrection River, Salmon Creek, Kwechak Creek, Bear Creek, Grouse Creek, and Sawmill Creek. Discharge quantiles were initially estimated using regional regression equations developed by the USGS (Reference 8). These data were evaluated against observations of extreme peak discharges resulting from surge-release floods (i.e. debris dam failures) or other anomalous events and appropriate adjustments were then made to the peak flows (Reference 9).

Peak discharge-drainage area relationships for each flooding source studied in detail are shown in Table 2.

### 3.2 Hydraulic Analyses

Analyses of the hydraulic characteristics of flooding from the sources studied were carried out to provide estimates of the elevations of floods of the selected recurrence intervals along each stream studied in the borough. Users should be aware that flood elevations shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations and may not exactly reflect the elevations shown on the Flood Profiles or in the Floodway Data Table in the FIS report. Flood elevations shown on the FIRM are primarily intended for flood insurance rating purposes. For construction and/or floodplain management purposes, users are cautioned to use the flood elevation data presented in this FIS report in conjunction with the data shown on the FIRM.

Water-surface elevations were computed for all streams studied by detailed methods by use of the HEC-2 or HEC-RAS computerized water-surface profile program (Reference 10 and Reference 11). These programs compute the water-surface profile for stream channels at any cross-section for subcritical, critical, or supercritical flow conditions and considers the effects of various structures such as bridges, culverts, weirs, and embankments. These programs apply Bernoulli's theorem for the local energy at each cross section and Manning's formula for the friction slope for a reach between two cross sections as determined in terms of the average of the conveyances at the two ends of the reach. Other losses are computed using one of several standard methods. Critical water-surface elevations, corresponding to the minimum specific energy, are computed using an iterative process.

Locations of selected cross sections used in the hydraulic analyses are shown on the Flood Profiles (Exhibit 1). For stream segments for which a floodway was computed (Section 4.2), selected cross section locations are also shown on the FIRM (Exhibit 2).

**Table 2 - Summary of Discharges**

<u>Flooding Source and Location</u>	<u>Drainage Area (square miles)</u>	<u>Peak Discharges (cubic feet per second)</u>			
		<u>10-Percent- Annual-Chance</u>	<u>2-Percent- Annual-Chance</u>	<u>1-Percent- Annual-Chance</u>	<u>0.2-Percent- Annual-Chance</u>
<b>Bear Creek<sup>1</sup></b>					
At upstream study limit	6.6	440	610	690	880
<b>Grouse Creek<sup>1</sup></b>					
At upstream study limit	6.1	740	1,020	1,140	1,450
<b>Kasilof River</b>					
At Cross Section M	738	10,810	13,700	15,035	18,200
<b>Kenai River</b>					
At mouth	2,162	28,000	37,300	38,300	47,000
At Soldotna gaging station <sup>2</sup>	2,010	28,000	38,500	44,000	58,000
Below Funny River	1,905	26,600	33,800	36,800	45,300
Downstream of Moose River	1,748	25,600	32,700	35,900	44,300
Downstream of Killey River	1,484	23,800	30,600	33,700	43,700
At outlet of Skilak Lake	1,257	22,100	28,600	31,500	39,400
At Cooper Landing	634	17,000	23,500	26,500	33,000
<b>Kwechak Creek<sup>1</sup></b>					
At Salmon Creek confluence	6.9	1,190	2,140	2,780	5,160
<b>Resurrection River<sup>1</sup></b>					
At upstream study limit	161	16,700	22,720	25,280	31,670
Downstream of Box Canyon	173	19,040	25,930	28,870	36,190
To Seward Highway	180	19,230	26,190	29,160	36,570
<b>Salmon Creek<sup>1</sup></b>					
Downstream of Grouse Cr.	14.7	1,370	2,910	4,250	11,480
Upstream of Bear Creek	16.9	1,630	3,430	5,000	13,530
Upstream of Kwechak Cr.	24.1	1,860	4,310	6,340	15,900
Downstream of Kwechak Cr.	31.0	2,340	4,910	6,930	16,080
Upstream of Clear Creek	34.0	2,530	4,950	6,790	14,970
Downstream of Clear Creek	35.1	2,640	5,280	7,340	16,540
To Nash Road	36.1	2,650	5,170	7,120	15,730
<b>Sawmill Creek<sup>1</sup></b>					
At upstream study limit	10.1	1,490	2,440	3,010	4,930
At Resurrection Bay <sup>3</sup>	11.0	1,460	2,350	2,860	4,590

<sup>1</sup> New or updated data in 2007 (Reference 9)

<sup>2</sup> Data based on additional gage records from 1980 through 1997

<sup>3</sup> Sawmill Creek discharges decrease in a downstream direction due to floodplain storage

For the Kasilof and Kenai Rivers, cross section data were obtained from field survey notes, field reconnaissance, photographs, topographic maps (References 12 through 22), and previous reports (References 23 through 25). Roughness factors (Manning's "n") were generally assigned on the basis of field inspection of floodplain areas together with computer determination of "n" with normal flows and standard published factors for pipe and corrugated metal culverts. For Kenai River, roughness factors were taken from a previous report (Reference 23). For Kasilof River, the "n" value for the channel was determined by performing a backwater analysis with known elevations and flow rates at the Sterling Highway Bridge. The overbank "n" values were determined by observation of the area and comparison with similar areas for which the roughness factors are known. The Manning's "n" values for all detailed studied streams are listed in the following table:

Manning's "n" Values

<u>Stream</u>	<u>Channel "n"</u>	<u>Overbank "n"</u>
Bear Creek	0.04	0.065 – 0.085
Grouse Creek	0.05	0.10
Kasilof River	0.029 – 0.039	0.035 – 0.100
Kenai River	0.029 – 0.031	0.035 – 0.100
Kwechak Creek	0.05 – 0.065	0.065 – 0.08
Resurrection River	0.035 – 0.04	0.035 – 0.10
Salmon Creek (incl. side channels)	0.045 – 0.08	0.045 – 0.12
Sawmill Creek	0.05	0.085

Starting water-surface elevations for the Kasilof and Kenai Rivers were based on a reasonable high tide which could occur during a month that flooding would be likely to occur.

Kenai River, at an elevation of 190 feet at the upstream limit of the study drops to sea level in Cook Inlet 47 miles downstream. Two large lakes upstream from the study area regulate the flow. The overbank areas vary from mudflats to dense tree cover. As the mean tide range is nearly 20 feet on Cook Inlet, considerable backwater would be expected at the mouth of the river during a high tide. However, the Kenai River's mouth is more than 1 mile wide and can accommodate high tides. The 1-percent-annual-chance coastal storm would cause the water surface to reach a peak at the coast and gradually recede upstream to a level of less than the 1-percent-annual-chance tide. This tide would then control until the river flooding reached a higher level. This would occur at cross section W. The two bridges over Kenai River in the study area, are high enough to avoid overtopping. However, numerous docks and gravel pads, which extend out into the river, impede flow. Several rivers and creeks that flow into Kenai River were studied by approximate methods. Both the 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floods would cause only moderate flooding.

Beaver Creek enters Kenai River at River Station 53,000. It actually flows in a side channel of the river between this station and River Station 59,000, but the flow in this channel is minimal as compared with the main channel flow.

Therefore, the side channel was not considered in the backwater computations. Due to the broad floodplains in some overbank areas, shallow flooding results. Elevations for these areas of shallow flooding were computed by using field-surveyed cross sections and the HEC-2 computer program (Reference 10).

Kasilof River, which drops from an elevation of 108 feet to sea level in 15 miles, winds through dense wilderness to Cook Inlet. Like the Kenai River mouth, the Kasilof River mouth is wide and accommodating to high tides. The 1-percent-annual-chance coastal storm-water surface level is higher than stream flooding up to cross section K. Since the Kasilof River originates in Tustumena Lake, it is self-regulating, and there is little danger of ice-jam flooding. The Sterling Highway Bridge is the only bridge across the river, and it is high enough to avoid overtopping. However, some docks extend into the river and constrict flow.

Two parallel bridges, Seward Highway and Alaska Railroad, cross Resurrection River near the mouth. Three openings through the bridge system divide the flow into thirds. Although the bridges greatly constrict the flow, the 0.2-percent-annual-chance flood would not top them. Considerable backwater upstream causes moderate flooding and is a serious hazard to development near the river. There is little development, however, because of the frequent flooding. Coastal flooding governs up to cross section F on Resurrection River.

Resurrection River is gradually changing its course by eroding easterly into the Clear Creek area. As the area's material can be easily eroded, a dangerous situation can develop. The river can readily change its course during flooding and cause new areas to be flooded.

The primary factor contributing to flooding on Resurrection River is the heavy accumulation of brush and debris along and within the streambed. During floods, vegetation on the floodplain impedes flood flows, thereby creating backwater and increased flood heights. Trees and other debris may be washed away and carried downstream. Increased flooding and other damage results when this debris collects at bridge abutments or other obstructions. Since it was impossible to predict the amount of accumulation of debris, it was assumed that there would be no accumulation.

Salmon Creek, a glacier-fed stream, traverses a broad alluvial flood plain where heavy debris and gravel bars cause frequent channel changes. A railroad embankment crosses the Salmon Creek drainage basin and acts as a levee. At high flow the creek divides into a side channel running along the eastern side of the railroad.

Further information on the Resurrection River and Salmon Creek are provided in Section 10.2. Updated detailed studies for these two rivers, along with new detailed studies of Bear, Grouse, Kwechak, and Sawmill Creeks, were completed in 2009.

The 1-percent-annual-chance flood levels for all streams studied by approximate methods were based on field examinations, historical information, map reconnaissance, and engineering judgment. Japp Creek, which was studied by approximate methods, was found to cause only moderate flooding. Calculations showed that the creek would remain within its banks at the critical point upstream above Forest Acres Subdivision. However, it would top its banks near the gravel pit and flood the alluvial fan, thereby causing damage to the few homes between the gravel pit and Resurrection River.

The profile baselines depicted on the FIRM represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles on this FIS report. As a result of improved topographic data, the profile baseline, in some cases, may deviate significantly from the channel centerline or appear outside the Special Flood Hazard Area. Profile baselines were used on along the Resurrection River and Salmon Creek due to the meandering nature of the stream channels.

The hydraulic analyses for this study were based on unobstructed flow. The flood elevations shown on the Flood Profiles (Exhibit 1) are thus considered valid only if hydraulic structures remain unobstructed, operate properly, and do not fail.

### 3.3 Wave Height Analysis

A detailed coastal study was performed so that an estimate of coastal flooding at specific sites could be made. Analyses of storm surge, wave setup, and wave runup were performed in accordance with the design criteria in the Shore Protection Manual of 1973, written by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Coastal Engineering Research Center (Reference 26). The under-water and above-water topography were determined by the use of maps, U.S. Coast and Geodetic Survey navigation charts (Reference 27), and by visual inspection. Wind data are sparse, but some data are available in the vicinity of each site. Therefore, wind data used for a specific site are representative of the general wind conditions. By use of the available wind data (Reference 28), wind frequency curves were derived for the specific sites.

Tide frequency curves were derived by use of the frequency distribution functions developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Coastal Engineering Research Center for the tide reference stations in Alaska (Reference 29). The tide frequency curves and wind frequency curves were used in conjunction in order to determine the 1-percent-annual-chance event. These calculations yielded three tide/wind combinations; a 1-percent-annual-chance tide event with a low wind velocity, a 1-percent-annual-chance wind event with a lower high tide, and a tide/wind combination between the two events. The combination yielding the highest elevation was used as the 1-percent-annual-chance elevation. The 10-percent-annual-chance event was computed similarly. FEMA did not require that the 2- percent-annual-chance and 0.2- percent-annual-chance elevations be computed for the tidal areas.

Field reconnaissance and surveys were made of key structures. Significant ponding could cause overtopping and eventual failure of roads. However, ponding was not considered in this study because failure would normally reduce the predicted flood levels. All bridges were considered to have remained intact during flooding.

Wave setup, runup, and surge were calculated for all three tide/wind combinations, and the maximum flood elevation was plotted. The computed surge is the result of wind setup only and does not take into account the surge caused by pressure differences on the open coast. Most locations in this study are substantially away from the open coast. Seward, however, is subject to the pressure-caused surges in the Gulf of Alaska as it is only separated from the gulf by the relatively small Resurrection Bay. The only way to predict these surges and their effect on Seward is through the use of hydrodynamic equations. The data for development of these equations are not available; therefore, the open-sea surge was not considered in this study. In order to determine the flood elevations, allowances were made for the irregularity of the coastline, the changes in beach slope, and the variation of beach materials. The calculated flood levels compared favorably with the observations of local residents and with previous high-water marks. Areas specified for approximate study were compared with areas of detailed study, and the approximate flood elevations were derived. Detailed coastal studies were made for Homer, Seward, Seldovia, Port Graham, English Bay, Kenai, and Nikishka.

Port Graham, English Bay, Kenai, and Nikishka have had little flooding from coastal storms because the banks along their coasts are steep, and development has occurred at higher elevations.

Stillwater elevations for the detailed coastal studies are shown in Table 3. All elevations are referenced to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD) except for Resurrection Bay which was converted to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD) as part of an update in 2009 (Section 10.2). The original survey work for Seldovia was referenced to mean lower low water level (MLLW), which is 9.3 feet below the NGVD. The original survey work for both English Bay and Port Graham was based on an assumed datum with the zero contour lines equal to 16.5 MLLW and 15.5 MLLW, respectively. Elevation reference marks used in the study are shown on the maps.

Figure 1 is a profile for a hypothetical transect showing the effects of energy dissipation on a wave as it moves inland. This figure shows the wave elevations being decreased by obstructions, such as buildings, vegetation, and rising ground elevations and being increased by open, unobstructed wind fetches. Actual wave conditions may not necessarily include all of the situations shown in Figure 1.

Table 3 - Summary of Stillwater Elevations

Flooding Source	Water Surface Elevations (Feet)			
	10-Percent-Annual-Chance	2-Percent-Annual-Chance	1-Percent-Annual-Chance	0.2-Percent-Annual-Chance
<b>Cook Inlet<sup>1</sup></b>				
At Nikishka	15.4	n/a	17.1	n/a
At Homer, West End	20.9	n/a	26.7	n/a
At Homer, Fronting Beluga Lake	22.4	n/a	28.0	n/a
At West Base of Homer Spit	22.1	n/a	27.9	n/a
At Homer Spit	21.1	n/a	26.8	n/a
At Seldovia, South of Gray Cliff	10.5	n/a	18.7	n/a
At Seldovia, Northeast of Gray Cliff	15.1	n/a	24.4	n/a
At Port Graham	28.1	n/a	31.1	n/a
At Port Graham	28.8	n/a </td <td>32.7</td> <td>n/a</td>	32.7	n/a
At Port Graham	27.8	n/a	31.1	n/a
At Port Graham	28.0	n/a	30.5	n/a
At Port Graham	28.0	n/a	30.9	n/a
At English Bay, North	50.2	n/a	50.7	n/a
At English Bay, South	56.5	n/a	57.2	n/a
At Kasilof River	15.3	n/a	16.9	n/a
At Kasilof River	14.4	n/a	16.0	n/a
At Kasilof River	13.4	n/a	15.0	n/a
At Kasilof River	11.9	n/a	13.5	n/a
At Kasilof River	12.0	n/a	13.5	n/a
<b>Kachemak Bay<sup>1</sup></b>				
At East Base of Homer Spit	14.1	n/a	16.8	n/a
At Homer, East End	12.7	n/a	14.3	n/a
<b>Resurrection Bay<sup>2</sup></b>				
At Seward	14.6	n/a	16.1	n/a
At Seward	14.0	n/a	15.2	n/a
At Seward	14.3	n/a	16.2	n/a
At Seward	14.2	n/a	17.2	n/a

<sup>1</sup> National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929

<sup>2</sup> North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (converted from NGVD by adding 6.2 feet)




Figure 1 - Transect Schematic

### 3.4 Vertical Datum

All FIS reports and FIRMs are referenced to a specific vertical datum. The vertical datum provides a starting point against which flood, ground, and structure elevations can be referenced and compared. Until recently, the standard vertical datum in use for newly created or revised FIS reports and FIRMs was NGVD. With the finalization of NAVD, many FIS reports and FIRMs are being prepared using NAVD as the referenced vertical datum.

All flood elevations shown in this FIS report and on the FIRM are referenced to NGVD except for the areas in and around the city of Seward which are referenced to NAVD.

Stillwater elevations for Resurrection Bay were taken from the prior effective FIS and adjusted to NAVD. The average conversion factor that was used to convert these data were from National Geodetic Survey (NGS) benchmarks and computed from Kenai Peninsula Borough (KPB) benchmarks using the GEOID99 ellipsoid model (Reference 30). The data points used to determine the conversion are listed in Table 4.

Table 4 - Vertical Datum Conversion

NGS or KPB Station	Location	NGVD29 (feet)	NAVD88 (feet)	Conversion from NGVD29 to NAVD88 (feet)
BM X-74	Seward Airport	26.45	32.64	6.19
BM E-76	Mile 7 Seward Highway	208.35	214.63	6.18
BM B-76	Mile 4 Seward Highway	64.28	70.48	6.20
KPB BM-3	Nash Road & Seward Highway	28.57	34.76	6.19
KPB BM-7	Bruno Road	151.39	157.58	6.19
			Average:	6.19

For additional information regarding conversion between NGVD and NAVD, visit the NGS website at [www.ngs.noaa.gov](http://www.ngs.noaa.gov), or contact the NGS at the following address:

Vertical Network Branch, N/CG13  
 National Geodetic Survey, NOAA  
 Silver Spring Metro Center 3  
 1315 East-West Highway  
 Silver Spring, Maryland 20910  
 (301) 713-3191

Temporary vertical monuments are often established during the preparation of a flood hazard analysis for the purpose of establishing local vertical control. Although these monuments are not shown on the FIRM, they may be found in the

Technical Support Data Notebook associated with the FIS report and FIRM for this community. Interested individuals may contact FEMA to access these data.

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for benchmarks shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the NGS at (301) 713-3242, or visit their website at [www.ngs.noaa.gov](http://www.ngs.noaa.gov).

#### **4.0 FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS**

The NFIP encourages State and local governments to adopt sound floodplain management programs. Therefore, each FIS provides 1-percent-annual-chance (100-year) flood elevations and delineations of the 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance (500-year) floodplain boundaries and 1-percent-annual-chance floodway to assist communities in developing floodplain management measures. This information is presented on the FIRM and in many components of the FIS report, including Flood Profiles, Floodway Data Table, and Summary of Stillwater Elevations Table. Users should reference the data presented in the FIS report as well as additional information that may be available at the local map repository before making flood elevation and/or floodplain boundary determinations.

##### **4.1 Floodplain Boundaries**

To provide a national standard without regional discrimination, the 1-percent-annual-chance flood has been adopted by FEMA as the base flood for floodplain management purposes. The 0.2-percent-annual-chance flood is employed to indicate additional areas of flood risk in the community.

For each stream studied by detailed methods, the 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries have been delineated using the flood elevations determined at each cross section. Between cross sections, the boundaries were interpolated using topographic maps at a scale of 1:2,400 with contour intervals of 5 and 10 feet (Reference 12); 1:2,400, with contour intervals of 2 and 10 feet (Reference 13); 1:2,400, with contour interval of 2 feet (Reference 14); 1:4,800, with contour intervals of 2 and 10 feet (Reference 15); 1:4,800, with contour interval of 5 feet (Reference 16); 1:12,000, with contour intervals of 10 feet (Reference 17); 1:4,800, with contour interval of 5 feet (Reference 18); 1:12,000, no contours available (Reference 19); 1:63,360, with contour interval of 100 feet (Reference 20); 1: 63,360, with contour intervals of 50 feet (Reference 21); and 1:2,400, with contour interval of 5 feet (Reference 22).

For areas of coastal and showing flooding, boundaries for the 1-percent-annual-chance flood were delineated on the maps reference previously by using the computed elevations.

The 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries are shown on the FIRM (Exhibit 2). On this map, the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundary

corresponds to the boundary of the areas of special flood hazards (Zones A, AE, AH, AO, A99, V, and VE), and the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundary corresponds to the boundary of areas of moderate flood hazards. In cases where the 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries are close together, only the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundary has been shown. Small areas within the floodplain boundaries may lie above the flood elevations but cannot be shown due to limitations of the map scale and/or lack of detailed topographic data.

For stream studied by approximate methods, the 1-percent-annual-chance flood boundary was delineated on these same maps by using the estimated elevations and only the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundary is shown on the FIRM (Exhibit 2).

#### 4.2 Floodways

Encroachment on floodplains, such as structures and fill, reduces flood-carrying capacity, increases flood heights and velocities, and increases flood hazards in areas beyond the encroachment itself. One aspect of floodplain management involves balancing the economic gain from floodplain development against the resulting increase in flood hazard. For purposes of the NFIP, a floodway is used as a tool to assist local communities in this aspect of floodplain management. Under this concept, the area of the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain is divided into a floodway and a floodway fringe. The floodway is the channel of a stream, plus any adjacent floodplain areas, that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1-percent-annual-chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights. Minimum Federal standards limit such increases to 1 foot, provided that hazardous velocities are not produced. The floodways in this study are presented to local agencies as minimum standards that can be adopted directly or that can be used as a basis for additional floodway studies.

The floodways presented in this FIS report and on the FIRM were computed for certain stream segments on the basis of equal-conveyance reduction from each side of the floodplain. Floodway widths were computed at cross sections. Between cross sections, the floodway boundaries were interpolated. The results of the floodway computations have been tabulated for selected cross sections (Table 5). In cases where the floodway and 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries are either close together or collinear, only the floodway boundary has been shown.

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NAVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Bear Creek								
A	114.9	37.4	118.7	5.8	167.3 <sup>2</sup>	167.3	167.6	0.3
B	327.0	35.0	122.5	5.6	170.2	170.2	170.7	0.5
C	597.8	33.6	106.5	6.5	174.3	174.3	174.9	0.6
D	855.4	36.4	130.1	5.3	178.8	178.8	178.9	0.1
E	1116.2	26.3	108.5	6.4	183.7	183.7	184.0	0.3
F	1501.8	28.3	110.4	6.3	188.8	188.8	189.7	0.9
G	1868.8	29.2	105.9	6.5	195.2	195.2	195.4	0.2
H	2307.7	32.0	110.2	6.3	202.2	202.2	203.1	0.9
I	2993.2	37.4	98.1	7.0	217.9	217.9	218.0	0.1
J	3479.4	39.1	110.3	6.3	229.5	229.5	230.2	0.7
K	3847.7	24.0	97.8	7.1	237.6	237.6	237.6	0.0
L	4178.5	20.5	85.2	8.1	243.3	243.3	244.0	0.7
M	4372.8	25.4	202.5	3.4	253.5	253.5	254.1	0.6
N	4600.0	53.3	405.8	1.7	253.7	253.7	254.3	0.6
O	5083.9	51.0	350.1	2.0	253.8	253.8	254.4	0.6
P	5528.2	45.0	290.2	2.4	253.9	253.9	254.5	0.6
Q	5984.4	45.3	217.1	3.2	254.2	254.2	254.8	0.6
R	6388.9	21.8	95.4	7.2	254.6	254.6	255.3	0.7

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Confluence with Salmon Creek

<sup>2</sup>Elevations Computed Without Consideration of Flooding Effects From Salmon Creek

**TABLE 5**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**BEAR CREEK**

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NGVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NGVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NGVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Kasilof River								
A	0	676 <sup>2</sup>	10,588	1.8	13.5	9.0 <sup>3</sup>	9.0 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
B	2,890	437 <sup>2</sup>	7,972	2.4	13.5	9.1 <sup>3</sup>	9.1 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
C	6,590	430 <sup>2</sup>	6,562	2.9	13.5	9.3 <sup>3</sup>	9.3 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
D	9,560	366 <sup>2</sup>	6,386	2.9	13.5	9.5 <sup>3</sup>	9.5 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
E	13,370	492 <sup>2</sup>	7,146	2.6	13.5	9.8 <sup>3</sup>	9.8 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
F	16,975	393 <sup>2</sup>	5,906	3.2	13.5	10.1 <sup>3</sup>	10.1 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
G	19,065	410 <sup>2</sup>	5,355	3.5	13.5	10.3 <sup>3</sup>	10.3 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
H	21,365	458 <sup>2</sup>	6,168	2.8	13.5	10.7 <sup>3</sup>	10.7 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
I	23,875	876 <sup>2</sup>	9,885	1.8	13.5	10.9 <sup>3</sup>	10.9 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
J	26,265	588 <sup>2</sup>	4,878	3.6	13.5	11.1 <sup>3</sup>	11.1 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
K	28,205	320 <sup>2</sup>	2,714	6.4	13.5	12.4 <sup>3</sup>	12.5 <sup>3</sup>	0.1
L	30,665	925	5,375	3.2	15.7	15.7	16.1	0.4
M	34,130	230	2,145	7.0	19.6	19.6	20.6	1.0
N	37,070	187	2,054	7.3	24.9	24.9	25.6	0.7
O	39,990	301	2,252	6.7	30.8	30.8	31.3	0.5
P	40,160	214	1,753	8.6	30.8	30.8	31.8	1.0
Q	41,960	245	2,542	5.9	35.3	35.3	35.6	0.3
R	43,770	257	2,002	7.5	37.9	37.9	38.4	0.5
S	46,490	637	3,221	4.7	44.3	44.3	44.9	0.6
T	49,220	760	3,853	3.9	49.0	49.0	49.4	0.4
U	52,860	200	1,869	8.0	54.6	54.6	55.2	0.6
V	56,440	315	2,661	5.6	61.3	61.3	62.0	0.7

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Cross Section A

<sup>2</sup>Width Affected by Tidal Influences

<sup>3</sup>Elevations Computed Without Consideration of Backwater Effects From Cook Inlet

**TABLES**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**KASILOF RIVER**

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NGVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NGVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NGVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Kasilof River (continued)								
W	58,730	250	1,447	10.4	67.1	67.1	67.1	0.0
X	60,670	342	2,574	5.8	73.5	73.5	74.2	0.7
Y	62,270	390	1,856	8.1	78.4	78.4	78.4	0.0
Z	64,000	248	1,954	7.7	84.7	84.7	84.8	0.1
AA	66,300	247	1,935	7.8	91.0	91.0	91.0	0.0
AB	68,420	212	2,048	7.3	95.8	95.8	95.9	0.1
AC	71,740	302	2,416	6.2	102.0	102.0	102.1	0.1
AD	75,380	212	2,293	6.5	107.4	107.4	107.5	0.1

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Cross Section A

<sup>2</sup>Width Affected by Tidal Influences

<sup>3</sup>Elevations Computed Without Consideration of Backwater Effects From Cook Inlet

**TABLES**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**KASILOF RIVER**

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NGVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NGVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NGVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Kenai River								
A	0	1,223 <sup>2</sup>	26,200	1.5	13.5	9.0 <sup>3</sup>	9.0 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
B	3,380	1,901 <sup>2</sup>	38,750	1.0	13.5	9.1 <sup>3</sup>	9.1 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
C	6,440	1,701 <sup>2</sup>	34,746	1.1	13.5	9.1 <sup>3</sup>	9.1 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
D	9,840	1,821 <sup>2</sup>	29,073	1.3	13.5	9.1 <sup>3</sup>	9.1 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
E	13,030	1,343 <sup>2</sup>	28,620	1.3	13.5	9.1 <sup>3</sup>	9.1 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
F	16,270	1,216 <sup>2</sup>	24,083	1.6	13.5	9.2 <sup>3</sup>	9.2 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
G	19,460	1,248 <sup>2</sup>	18,531	2.1	13.5	9.3 <sup>3</sup>	9.3 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
H	22,700	1,012 <sup>2</sup>	21,076	1.8	13.5	9.4 <sup>3</sup>	9.4 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
I	25,460	873 <sup>2</sup>	15,249	2.5	13.5	9.4 <sup>3</sup>	9.4 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
J	25,880	790 <sup>2</sup>	15,604	2.5	13.5	9.5 <sup>3</sup>	10.5 <sup>3</sup>	1.0
K	29,430	751 <sup>2</sup>	13,168	2.9	13.5	9.7 <sup>3</sup>	10.7 <sup>3</sup>	1.0
L	31,430	630 <sup>2</sup>	10,073	3.8	13.5	9.8 <sup>3</sup>	10.7 <sup>3</sup>	0.9
M	33,370	603 <sup>2</sup>	9,895	3.9	13.5	10.1 <sup>3</sup>	11.0 <sup>3</sup>	0.9
N	36,000	747 <sup>2</sup>	12,739	3.0	13.5	10.6 <sup>3</sup>	11.4 <sup>3</sup>	0.8
O	38,765	758 <sup>2</sup>	13,024	2.9	13.5	10.8 <sup>3</sup>	11.6 <sup>3</sup>	0.8
P	41,495	564 <sup>2</sup>	10,559	3.6	13.5	11.0 <sup>3</sup>	11.8 <sup>3</sup>	0.8
Q	44,215	481 <sup>2</sup>	8,959	4.3	13.5	11.3 <sup>3</sup>	12.0 <sup>3</sup>	0.7
R	46,980	770 <sup>2</sup>	10,869	3.5	13.5	11.9 <sup>3</sup>	12.6 <sup>3</sup>	0.7
S	49,975	1,120 <sup>2</sup>	20,287	1.9	13.5	12.3 <sup>3</sup>	12.9 <sup>3</sup>	0.6
T	52,835	440 <sup>2</sup>	6,994	5.5	13.5	12.3 <sup>3</sup>	12.9 <sup>3</sup>	0.6
U	54,435	880 <sup>2</sup>	13,379	2.8	13.5	12.8 <sup>3</sup>	13.4 <sup>3</sup>	0.6
V	55,915	450 <sup>2</sup>	6,202	6.1	13.5	12.8 <sup>3</sup>	13.4 <sup>3</sup>	0.6

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Cross Section A

<sup>2</sup>Width Affected by Tidal Influences

<sup>3</sup>Elevations Computed Without Consideration of Backwater Effects From Cook Inlet

<b>TABLE 5</b>	FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY	<b>FLOODWAY DATA</b>
	<b>KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK AND INCORPORATED AREAS</b>	

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NGVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NGVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NGVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Kenai River (continued)								
W	58,000	1,049	12,437	3.0	13.7	13.7	14.2	0.5
X	59,705	2,250	14,922	2.5	13.9	13.9	14.4	0.5
Y	62,640	1,210	6,842	5.5	14.6	14.6	15.0	0.4
Z	65,485	850	8,840	4.3	16.0	16.0	16.5	0.5
AA	65,905	850	8,107	4.7	16.1	16.1	16.6	0.5
AB	68,750	663	7,795	5.6	18.3	18.3	19.3	1.0
AC	71,410	855	7,005	6.3	20.1	20.1	20.8	0.7
AD	74,030	628	7,878	5.6	22.3	22.3	22.7	0.4
AE	76,880	1,374	8,954	4.9	23.2	23.2	23.5	0.3
AF	78,520	972	8,572	5.1	24.9	24.9	25.2	0.3
AG	81,310	1,612	12,630	3.5	27.5	27.5	27.7	0.2
AH	83,025	1,904	14,424	3.1	28.0	28.0	28.3	0.3
AI	84,915	2,004	14,171	3.1	28.4	28.4	28.7	0.3
AJ	87,540	1,651	9,588	4.6	29.1	29.1	29.5	0.4
AK	90,160	1,301	7,630	5.8	31.3	31.3	31.5	0.2
AL	93,060	706	6,807	6.5	33.8	33.8	33.9	0.1
AM	95,185	615	6,637	6.6	35.6	35.6	35.7	0.1
AN	96,995	513	5,049	7.5	41.2	41.2	41.6	0.4
AO	99,555	485	7,342	5.2	43.1	43.1	43.4	0.3
AP	101,915	450	6,064	6.2	44.0	44.0	44.5	0.5
AQ	103,805	387	5,729	6.5	44.9	44.9	45.4	0.5
AR	106,875	547	6,504	5.8	46.4	46.4	46.9	0.5

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Cross Section A

<sup>2</sup>Width Affected by Tidal Influences

<sup>3</sup>Elevations Computed Without Consideration of Backwater Effects From Cook Inlet

**TABLES**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**KENAI RIVER**

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NGVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NGVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NGVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Kenai River (continued)								
AS	109,555	526	5,845	6.4	47.9	47.9	48.3	0.4
AT	112,155	331	3,354	11.2	50.4	50.4	50.5	0.1
AU	112,495	388	4,560	8.2	52.4	52.4	53.1	0.7
AV	113,995	299	4,435	8.5	54.2	54.2	54.6	0.4
AW	115,745	435	5,518	6.8	56.1	56.1	56.4	0.3
AX	117,665	511	5,141	7.3	57.7	57.7	57.9	0.2
AY	119,985	502	6,183	6.0	59.9	59.9	60.0	0.1
AZ	122,575	540	5,387	6.8	61.6	61.6	61.7	0.1
BA	125,040	542	4,762	7.7	64.4	64.4	64.5	0.1
BB	127,650	443	4,215	8.7	68.3	68.3	68.3	0.0
BC	130,525	425	4,318	8.5	72.8	72.8	72.8	0.0
BD	132,035	522	5,293	7.0	75.0	75.0	75.0	0.0
BE	139,995	526	4,354	8.5	85.2	85.2	85.2	0.0
BF	148,475	392	4,040	9.1	99.8	99.8	99.8	0.0
BG	150,475	390	4,210	8.7	103.0	103.0	103.0	0.0
BH	151,675	514	4,780	7.7	104.8	104.8	105.0	0.2
BI	154,035	383	4,256	8.6	107.9	107.9	108.0	0.1
BJ	154,765	334	3,989	9.2	108.8	108.8	108.9	0.1
BK	156,815	406	4,393	8.4	111.8	111.8	111.9	0.1
BL	162,995	530	5,259	7.0	120.3	120.3	120.4	0.1
BM	163,545	450	4,520	7.9	121.0	121.0	121.0	0.0
BN	165,745	380	4,640	7.7	123.4	123.4	123.5	0.1

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Cross Section A

<sup>2</sup>Width Affected by Tidal Influences

<sup>3</sup>Elevations Computed Without Consideration of Backwater Effects From Cook Inlet

<b>TABLE 5</b>	FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY	<b>FLOODWAY DATA</b>  <b>KENAI RIVER</b>
	<b>KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK</b>	
	<b>AND INCORPORATED AREAS</b>	

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NGVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NGVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NGVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Kenai River (continued)								
BO	167,995	312	4,327	8.3	125.2	125.2	125.6	0.4
BP	171,215	410	4,851	7.4	128.1	128.1	128.8	0.7
BQ	172,755	377	4,964	7.2	129.6	129.6	130.1	0.5
BR	174,595	426	6,013	6.0	131.1	131.1	131.5	0.4
BS	176,795	765	7,439	4.8	132.3	132.3	132.7	0.4
BT	180,435	437	4,814	7.5	134.7	134.7	134.9	0.2
BU	181,675	385	4,683	7.7	135.9	135.9	136.0	0.1
BV	184,275	479	3,962	9.1	139.2	139.2	139.3	0.1
BW	189,075	278	4,106	8.7	145.9	145.9	146.2	0.3
BX	193,315	400	5,861	6.1	149.2	149.2	149.7	0.5
BY	194,110	552	7,979	4.2	149.9	149.9	150.3	0.4
BZ	196,255	381	5,299	6.4	150.3	150.3	150.8	0.5
CA	198,625	630	6,898	4.9	151.9	151.9	152.3	0.4
CB	201,260	356	4,282	7.9	153.4	153.4	153.6	0.2
CC	203,835	300	3,705	9.1	156.1	156.1	156.4	0.3
CD	206,800	277	3,539	9.5	160.2	160.2	160.4	0.2
CE	211,110	338	3,307	10.2	167.6	167.6	167.7	0.1
CF	211,830	680	4,752	7.1	170.1	170.1	170.3	0.2
CG	213,615	875	6,303	5.3	172.0	172.0	172.8	0.8
CH	215,125	519	4,686	7.2	173.8	173.8	174.1	0.3
CI	218,125	810	7,710	4.4	176.4	176.4	176.8	0.4
CJ	220,920	469	5,528	6.1	177.5	177.5	178.3	0.8

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Cross Section A

<sup>2</sup>Width Affected by Tidal Influences

<sup>3</sup>Elevations Computed Without Consideration of Backwater Effects From Cook Inlet

<b>TABLE 5</b>	FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY	<b>FLOODWAY DATA</b>
	<b>KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK AND INCORPORATED AREAS</b>	
	<b>KENAI RIVER</b>	

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NGVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NGVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NGVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Kenai River (continued)								
CK	224,220	1,212	9,031	3.7	179.7	179.7	180.3	0.6
CL	226,200	1,370	11,274	3.0	180.8	180.8	181.7	0.9
CM	229,245	710	5,720	5.9	181.9	181.9	182.9	1.0
CN	235,075	800	7,521	4.5	185.8	185.8	186.4	0.6
CO	235,865	713	6,714	4.7	186.0	186.0	186.7	0.7
CP	239,985	406	4,733	6.7	188.3	188.3	189.2	0.9
CQ	245,255	410	5,466	5.8	191.6	191.6	192.3	0.7
CR	246,415	580	6,553	4.8	192.4	192.4	193.0	0.6
CS	247,890	470	6,012	5.2	192.7	192.7	193.6	0.9

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Cross Section A

<sup>2</sup>Width Affected by Tidal Influences

<sup>3</sup>Elevations Computed Without Consideration of Backwater Effects From Cook Inlet

<b>TABLE 5</b>	FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY	<b>FLOODWAY DATA</b>
	<b>KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK AND INCORPORATED AREAS</b>	
		<b>KENAI RIVER</b>

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NAVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Kwechak Creek								
A <sup>2</sup>	144.2	400.0	1304.4	6.5	136.1	136.1	136.1	0.0
B <sup>2</sup>	556.3	620.0	2903.7	5.9	142.8	142.8	142.9	0.1
C	1231.5	337.0	541.8	5.1	152.1	152.1	152.8	0.7
D	1778.3	203.0	424.6	6.6	161.8	161.8	162.0	0.2
E	2348.6	76.0	321.6	8.7	170.3	170.3	170.4	0.1
F	2880.2	95.0	289.6	9.6	179.5	179.5	179.7	0.2
G	3299.4	76.0	337.7	8.2	187.0	187.0	187.3	0.3
H	3546.7	66.0	297.3	9.4	190.1	190.1	190.4	0.3
I	3952.4	100.8	393.5	7.1	196.3	196.3	196.9	0.6
J	4254.9	86.8	295.7	9.4	200.7	200.7	200.7	0.0
K	4771.1	118.4	425.6	6.5	207.8	207.8	208.5	0.7
L	5507.2	97.6	286.0	9.7	220.1	220.1	220.3	0.2
M	6241.9	92.6	334.1	8.6	234.3	234.3	235.3	1.0
N	6852.3	95.9	301.9	9.3	245.4	245.4	246.2	0.8
O	7513.5	75.1	271.5	10.2	260.0	260.0	260.9	0.9
P	8163.6	109.6	359.1	7.7	273.4	273.4	273.6	0.2
Q	8645.3	76.9	276.2	10.1	281.6	281.6	281.8	0.2
R	9380.1	52.5	237.6	11.7	298.1	298.1	298.6	0.5
S	10124.5	133.7	364.6	7.6	314.7	314.7	315.3	0.6
T	10890.0	44.8	220.8	12.6	335.6	335.6	336.6	1.0

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Confluence with Salmon Creek

<sup>2</sup>Shared Floodway with Salmon Creek

<b>TABLE 5</b>	FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY	<b>FLOODWAY DATA</b>
	<b>KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK AND INCORPORATED AREAS</b>	
		<b>KWECHAK CREEK</b>

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NAVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Resurrection River								
A	144.0	3060.0	10014.7	2.9	16.2	12.3 <sup>2</sup>	13.0 <sup>2</sup>	0.7
B	698.2	3010.0	11189.3	2.6	16.2	12.8 <sup>2</sup>	13.5 <sup>2</sup>	0.7
C	1336.0	2900.0	10767.5	2.7	16.2	13.3 <sup>2</sup>	14.0 <sup>2</sup>	0.7
D	1790.7	2800.0	9670.6	3.0	16.2	13.6 <sup>2</sup>	14.4 <sup>2</sup>	0.8
E	2431.9	2443.5	7453.1	3.9	16.2	14.3 <sup>2</sup>	15.1 <sup>2</sup>	0.8
F	3093.5	2127.4	6729.8	4.3	16.2	15.7 <sup>2</sup>	16.6 <sup>2</sup>	0.9
G	3589.0	1844.5	6055.8	4.8	17.8	17.8	18.1	0.3
H	3950.0	1750.0	5710.6	5.1	19.3	19.3	19.6	0.3
I	4459.9	1650.0	5861.5	5.0	21.5	21.5	21.8	0.3
J	4993.6	1485.1	6302.6	4.6	23.4	23.4	23.9	0.5
K	5408.3	1293.9	4548.5	6.4	25.1	25.1	25.6	0.5
L	6068.0	1523.5	4988.7	5.9	28.1	28.1	29.0	0.9
M	6545.5	1494.5	4520.4	6.5	30.2	30.2	31.2	1.0
N	7066.7	1340.0	5515.5	5.3	33.1	33.1	33.7	0.6
O	7482.1	1320.0	5857.4	5.0	34.5	34.5	35.0	0.5
P	8147.1	1840.0	5916.0	4.9	37.3	37.3	37.4	0.1
Q	8636.7	2255.0	7887.7	3.7	38.7	38.7	38.8	0.1
R	9199.1	2474.3	7939.0	3.7	40.1	40.1	40.1	0.0
S	9719.2	2350.0	6918.8	4.2	42.0	42.0	42.2	0.2
T	10134.2	2300.0	7989.5	3.6	43.6	43.6	44.1	0.5
U	10775.2	2200.0	5962.0	4.8	45.8	45.8	46.4	0.6
V	11233.3	2275.0	6838.7	4.2	48.2	48.2	48.9	0.7

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Resurrection Bay

<sup>2</sup>Elevations Computed Without Consideration of Coastal Flooding Effects From Resurrection Bay

<b>TABLE 5</b>	FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY	<b>FLOODWAY DATA</b>
	<b>KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK AND INCORPORATED AREAS</b>	

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NAVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Resurrection River (Continued)								
W	11995.4	2110.0	5975.7	4.8	51.9	51.9	52.9	1.0
X	12752.7	1650.0	4881.1	5.9	56.2	56.2	56.9	0.7
Y	13272.9	1100.0	3826.8	6.6	58.3	58.3	58.8	0.5
Z	13758.8	1000.0	3721.0	6.8	60.6	60.6	60.7	0.1
AA	14248.8	1213.9	3476.6	7.3	62.8	62.8	63.0	0.2
AB	14769.3	1215.0	4081.6	6.2	65.6	65.6	65.8	0.2
AC	15261.1	1125.0	3146.4	8.0	68.2	68.2	68.4	0.2
AD	15760.6	870.0	3387.1	7.5	71.8	71.8	71.8	0.0
AE	16456.8	765.0	3298.0	7.7	74.8	74.8	75.2	0.4

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Resurrection Bay

**TABLE 5**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**RESURRECTION RIVER**

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NAVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Salmon Creek								
A	0.0	868.9	2096.9	2.2	16.2	13.2 <sup>2</sup>	13.3 <sup>2</sup>	0.1
B	933.7	1134.7	2491.3	1.9	16.2	14.4 <sup>2</sup>	14.7 <sup>2</sup>	0.3
C	1814.9	1264.3	2590.7	1.8	16.2	15.6 <sup>2</sup>	16.0 <sup>2</sup>	0.4
D	2702.3	1680.4	2595.0	1.8	17.6	17.6	18.0	0.4
E	3504.6	1293.1	2459.5	1.9	20.3	20.3	20.8	0.5
F	4419.0	350.0	1333.1	3.5	23.1	23.1	23.5	0.4
G	5107.3	630.0	2248.4	2.1	24.2	24.2	24.9	0.7
H	5635.9	705.3	2335.9	2.0	24.7	24.7	25.5	0.8
I	6021.3	830.0	2319.1	2.0	25.2	25.2	25.9	0.7
J	6364.7	804.6	1933.7	2.4	25.9	25.9	26.5	0.6
K	7254.7	116.0	603.3	7.7	29.2	29.2	29.6	0.4
L	7422.2	108.0	700.9	6.6	30.6	30.6	31.0	0.4
M	7775.5	820.0	4225.7	1.1	31.5	31.5	32.0	0.5
N	8574.2	1050.0	3595.6	1.3	31.8	31.8	32.2	0.4
O	9125.6	1125.0	2269.6	2.0	32.2	32.2	32.7	0.5
P	9540.8	1243.3	1297.0	3.6	33.6	33.6	34.2	0.6
Q	10268.0	986.0	1968.7	2.5	35.7	35.7	36.7	1.0
R	10597.6	933.5	1217.6	4.0	37.7	37.7	38.0	0.3
S	10834.2	439.6	1373.8	5.6	39.4	39.4	39.7	0.3
T	11115.5	886.7	6106.8	1.7	43.4	43.4	43.9	0.5
U	11738.2	1017.2	4444.0	1.8	43.7	43.7	44.2	0.5
V	12193.0	930.0	3896.1	1.7	44.1	44.1	44.7	0.6

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Resurrection Bay

<sup>2</sup>Elevations Computed Without Consideration of Backwater Effects From Resurrection Bay

**TABLE 5**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**SALMON CREEK**

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NAVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Salmon Cr. (Continued)								
W	12573.7	953.8	2594.4	2.5	45.0	45.0	45.7	0.7
X	13178.6	835.0	2310.0	2.8	48.7	48.7	49.1	0.4
Y	13607.2	1081.0 <sup>2</sup>	3524.0	1.7	51.5	51.5	51.7	0.2
Z	14045.8	1377.0 <sup>2</sup>	3764.0	1.6	53.8	53.8	54.2	0.4
AA	14431.3	1380.0 <sup>2</sup>	3457.3	1.7	57.3	57.3	57.4	0.1
AB	14640.0	1336.0 <sup>2</sup>	3671.3	1.6	58.8	58.8	58.9	0.1
AC	14856.7	1221.0 <sup>2</sup>	3592.4	1.7	60.3	60.3	60.3	0.0
AD	15102.4	1055.0 <sup>2</sup>	3186.4	1.9	61.8	61.8	61.9	0.1
AE	15581.9	1130.0 <sup>2</sup>	3567.3	1.7	64.9	64.9	65.0	0.1
AF	16294.3	1177.0 <sup>2</sup>	2475.9	2.4	67.5	67.5	67.7	0.2
AG	17103.6	817.6	659.7	7.5	73.0	73.0	73.5	0.5
AH	17545.9	560.0	1788.7	2.8	76.4	76.4	77.4	1.0
AI	17970.5	345.1	720.1	6.9	78.1	78.1	78.9	0.8
AJ	18417.3	130.5	730.3	6.8	83.3	83.3	83.9	0.6
AK	18708.8	130.0	638.6	7.8	85.3	85.3	85.7	0.4
AL	18935.9	80.0	444.9	11.2	87.3	87.3	87.8	0.5
AM	19076.7	108.3	763.9	6.5	90.3	90.3	90.3	0.0
AN	19448.1	107.9	632.9	8.3	91.7	91.7	91.8	0.1
AO	19858.5	541.7	1380.6	4.3	93.6	93.6	94.6	1.0
AP	20120.5	620.0	1957.7	3.0	95.4	95.4	95.8	0.4
AQ	20384.5	700.0	1681.2	3.5	96.5	96.5	97.4	0.9
AR	20789.2	655.0	2083.2	2.8	101.0	101.0	101.6	0.6

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Resurrection Bay

<sup>2</sup>Floodway Width Includes Dry Land

**TABLE 5**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**SALMON CREEK**

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NAVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Salmon Cr. (Continued)								
AS	21295.0	540.0	2002.7	3.0	105.0	105.0	105.7	0.7
AT	21674.3	624.0	2147.2	2.8	108.0	108.0	108.6	0.6
AU	22110.7	753.5	1104.3	5.4	112.1	112.1	113.0	0.9
AV	22534.3	895.0	1763.5	3.4	116.6	116.6	117.5	0.9
AW	22885.5	698.9	1163.4	5.1	119.6	119.6	120.4	0.8
AX	23316.7	281.0	900.7	6.6	125.5	125.5	126.0	0.5
AY	23747.3	250.0	932.5	6.3	132.1	132.1	133.1	1.0
AZ <sup>2</sup>	24119.2	400.0	1480.5	3.6	136.4	136.4	137.2	0.8
BA <sup>2</sup>	24482.2	620.0	1225.9	4.3	139.5	139.5	139.7	0.2
BB	24929.7	292.0	874.9	6.1	143.3	143.3	143.6	0.3
BC	25217.7	215.0	863.0	6.2	145.1	145.1	145.4	0.3
BD	25528.2	273.0	1304.5	4.1	146.8	146.8	147.1	0.3
BE	25689.5	156.6	797.7	6.7	147.2	147.2	147.4	0.2
BF	25869.8	122.4	956.1	5.6	149.0	149.0	149.0	0.0
BG	26005.6	358.3	1655.4	3.5	149.5	149.5	149.6	0.1
BH	26449.5	135.0	592.4	10.7	150.6	150.6	151.0	0.4
BI	26912.0	180.0	1224.9	5.2	154.4	154.4	155.4	1.0
BJ	27443.5	142.5	608.5	10.4	157.3	157.3	157.3	0.0
BK	27897.6	105.0	956.2	6.6	160.4	160.4	160.9	0.5
BL	28456.2	135.0	946.3	6.7	162.0	162.0	162.3	0.3
BM	29068.7	69.3	520.3	12.2	163.7	163.7	164.6	0.9
BN	29625.9	162.2	1245.9	5.1	168.6	168.6	168.6	0.0

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Resurrection Bay

<sup>2</sup>Shared Floodway with Kwechak Creek

**TABLE 5**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**SALMON CREEK**

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NAVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Salmon Cr. (Continued)								
BO	30971.1	217.8	922.1	5.4	171.6	171.6	171.7	0.1
BP	31569.1	120.0	457.7	10.9	175.6	175.6	176.3	0.7
BQ	32112.8	191.2	807.5	6.2	183.6	183.6	184.2	0.6
BR	32150.3	214.4	1675.6	2.5	184.8	184.8	185.2	0.4
BS	32693.7	200.9	806.0	5.3	185.8	185.8	186.1	0.3
BT	33134.6	300.0	828.8	5.1	189.0	189.0	189.7	0.7

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Resurrection Bay

**TABLE 5**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**SALMON CREEK**

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NAVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Salmon Creek Overflow								
A	979.1	115.1	157.6	6.5	71.5	71.5	71.6	0.1
B	1482.0	97.5	344.0	3.0	77.4	77.4	77.6	0.2
C	1760.7	66.0	220.2	4.6	79.2	79.2	79.4	0.2
D	2151.8	149.3	462.2	2.2	81.7	81.7	81.9	0.2
E	2421.6	209.5	583.2	1.8	82.3	82.3	82.7	0.4
F	2719.8	236.7	507.4	2.0	83.1	83.1	83.6	0.5
G	3074.1	177.0	383.3	2.7	85.5	85.5	85.5	0.0
H	3524.9	117.3	247.1	4.1	90.3	90.3	90.5	0.2
I	3686.7	32.9	149.9	13.4	95.1	95.1	95.2	0.1
J	3773.0	180.3	1396.6	0.8	98.1	98.1	99.0	0.9
K	3925.9	156.0	1263.4	0.8	98.1	98.1	99.0	0.9
L	4295.4	80.0	465.7	2.2	98.2	98.2	99.0	0.8
M	4637.5	43.4	113.4	9.0	104.5	104.5	104.6	0.1
N	4856.4	59.8	205.6	5.0	108.7	108.7	108.8	0.1
O	5149.0	87.9	322.7	3.2	110.8	110.8	110.9	0.1
P	5506.5	245.3	458.8	2.2	112.7	112.7	113.0	0.3
Q	5891.9	245.7	271.0	3.8	117.4	117.4	117.7	0.3
R	6201.3	262.9	444.6	2.3	122.3	122.3	122.3	0.0
S	6578.5	170.4	276.9	3.7	126.4	126.4	126.7	0.3
T	6963.7	158.3	375.6	2.7	130.9	130.9	131.7	0.8
U	7365.0	125.4	279.3	3.7	135.1	135.1	135.9	0.8
V	7798.7	134.5	353.7	2.9	140.0	140.0	140.1	0.1

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Confluence with Salmon Creek

**TABLE 5**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**SALMON CREEK OVERFLOW**

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NAVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Salmon Creek Overflow (Continued)								
W	8220.7	158.1	309.7	3.3	143.6	143.6	144.0	0.4
X	8522.7	158.8	409.8	2.5	146.1	146.1	146.4	0.3
Y	8758.7	173.5	409.4	2.5	147.6	147.6	147.7	0.1
Z	8821.6	251.1	365.5	0.0	147.8	147.8	147.9	0.1

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Confluence with Salmon Creek

**TABLE 5**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**SALMON CREEK OVERFLOW**

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NAVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Salmon Creek / Resurrection River Split								
A <sup>2</sup>	24.1	449.9	750.7	3.3	37.5	35.0 <sup>3</sup>	36.0	1.0
B <sup>2</sup>	307.1	435.0	1042.8	2.4	37.9	36.3 <sup>3</sup>	37.3	1.0
C	537.3	375.0	780.6	3.2	38.1	37.4 <sup>3</sup>	38.3	0.9
D	788.0	360.0	1048.6	2.4	38.5	38.5	39.5	1.0
E	990.7	330.0	1412.0	1.8	38.8	38.8	39.7	1.0
F	1213.0	285.0	1204.0	2.1	38.9	38.9	39.9	0.9
G	1615.0	145.8	225.2	0.0	39.3	39.3	40.2	0.9

<sup>1</sup>Feet Above Confluence with Resurrection River

<sup>2</sup>Shared Floodway with Resurrection River

<sup>3</sup>Elevations computed without backwater effects from Resurrection River

**TABLE 5**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**SALMON RESURRECTION SPLIT**

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NAVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Salmon Creek Split								
A	856.4	128.9	373.3	2.1	43.0	43.0	43.2	0.2
B	1557.0	113.9	165.1	4.8	46.3	46.3	46.5	0.2
C	1900.8	62.5	195.0	4.0	50.0	50.0	50.2	0.2
D	2195.5	70.8	154.7	5.1	52.6	52.6	53.1	0.5
E	2507.4	140.7	303.1	2.6	55.7	55.7	56.0	0.3
F	3003.6	142.0	192.3	4.1	60.1	60.1	60.3	0.2
G	3457.2	150.0	271.6	2.9	65.7	65.7	66.6	0.9
H	3850.5	205.0	273.0	2.9	69.5	69.5	69.7	0.2
I	4344.8	152.4	211.0	3.7	73.4	73.4	74.2	0.8
J	4867.0	164.2	361.4	2.2	77.2	77.2	77.9	0.7
K	5317.9	148.4	297.5	2.7	78.8	78.8	79.7	0.9
L	5662.5	165.0	398.3	2.0	79.4	79.4	80.4	1.0
M	6042.8	296.8	168.9	4.7	84.8	84.8	84.8	0.0
N	6295.8	57.0	208.7	3.8	87.2	87.2	87.5	0.3
O	6343.9	100.0	409.6	1.9	87.4	87.4	87.8	0.4
P	6391.9	185.5	566.6	1.4	87.5	87.5	87.9	0.4

<sup>1</sup>Feet Upstream from Resurrection Bay

**TABLE 5**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**SALMON CREEK SPLIT**

FLOODING SOURCE		FLOODWAY			1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY (FEET NAVD)	WITHOUT FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	WITH FLOODWAY (FEET NAVD)	INCREASE (FEET)
Sawmill Creek Split								
A	279.0	73.5	119.4	3.9	16.2 <sup>2</sup>	12.7 <sup>3</sup>	12.7 <sup>3</sup>	0.0
B	724.0	86.8	223.8	2.1	16.2 <sup>2</sup>	14.1 <sup>3</sup>	14.2 <sup>3</sup>	0.1
C	1038.0	116.5	451.4	5.5	16.2 <sup>2</sup>	14.5 <sup>3</sup>	14.6 <sup>3</sup>	0.1
D	1178.0	116.5	332.0	4.7	17.6	17.6	17.6	0.0
E	1732.0	52.8	76.8	4.9	20.4	20.4	20.4	0.0
F	2075.0	66.0	120.6	3.4	23.6	23.6	23.6	0.0
G	2529.0	87.0	205.4	2.3	25.0	25.0	25.1	0.1
H	2969.0	98.7	80.3	5.8	31.1	31.1	31.1	0.0
I	3502.0	137.7	49.3	0.0	41.8	41.8	41.8	0.0

<sup>1</sup>Feet Upstream from Resurrection Bay

**TABLE 5**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**SAWMILL CREEK SPLIT**

The area between the floodway and 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries is termed the floodway fringe. The floodway fringe encompasses the portion of the floodplain that could be completely obstructed without increasing the water surface elevation of the 1-percent-annual-chance flood more than 1 foot at any point. Typical relationships between the floodway and the floodway fringe and their significance to floodplain development are shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2 - Floodway Schematic

#### 4.3 Base Flood Elevations

Areas within the community studied by detailed engineering methods have Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) established in AE and VE Zones. These are the elevations of the 1-percent-annual-chance (base flood) relative to NAVD. In coastal areas affected by wave action, BFEs are generally maximum at the normal open shoreline. These elevations generally decrease in a landward direction at a rate dependent on the presence of obstructions capable of dissipating the wave energy. Where possible, changes in BFEs have been shown in 1-foot increments on the FIRM. However, where the scale did not permit, 2- or 3-foot increments were sometimes used. BFEs shown in the wave action areas represent the average elevation within the zone. Current program regulations generally require that all new construction be elevated such that the first floor, including basement, is elevated to or above the BFE in AE and VE Zones.

#### 4.4 Velocity Zones

The USACE has established the 3-foot wave height as the criterion for identifying coastal high hazard zones (Reference 31). This was based on a study

of wave action effects on structures. This criterion has been adopted by FEMA for the determination of VE zones. Because of the additional hazards associated with high-energy waves, the NFIP regulations require much more stringent floodplain management measures in these areas, such as elevating structures on piles or piers. In addition, insurance rates in VE zones are higher than those in AE zones.

The location of the VE zone is determined by the 3-foot wave as discussed previously. The detailed analysis of wave heights performed in this study allowed a much more accurate location of the VE zone to be established. The VE zone generally extends inland to the point where the 1-percent-annual-chance stillwater flood depth is insufficient to support a 3-foot wave.

## **5.0 INSURANCE APPLICATIONS**

For flood insurance rating purposes, flood insurance zone designations are assigned to a community based on the results of the engineering analyses. These zones are as follows:

### Zone A

Zone A is the flood insurance risk zone that corresponds to the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplains that are determined in the FIS by approximate methods. Because detailed hydraulic analyses are not performed for such areas, no BFEs or base flood depths are shown within this zone.

### Zone AE

Zone AE is the flood insurance risk zone that corresponds to the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplains that are determined in the FIS by detailed methods. In most instances, whole-foot BFEs derived from the detailed hydraulic analyses are shown at selected intervals within this zone.

### Zone AH

Zone AH is the flood insurance risk zone that corresponds to the areas of 1-percent-annual-chance shallow flooding (usually areas of ponding) where average depths are between 1 and 3 feet. Whole-foot BFEs derived from the detailed hydraulic analyses are shown at selected intervals within this zone.

### Zone AO

Zone AO is the flood insurance risk zone that corresponds to the areas of 1-percent-annual-chance shallow flooding (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain) where average depths are between 1 and 3 feet. Average whole-foot base flood depths derived from the detailed hydraulic analyses are shown within this zone.

## Zone V

Zone V is the flood insurance risk zone that corresponds to the 1-percent-annual-chance coastal floodplains that have additional hazards associated with storm waves. Because approximate hydraulic analyses are performed for such areas, no BFEs are shown within this zone.

## Zone VE

Zone VE is the flood insurance risk zone that corresponds to the 1-percent-annual-chance coastal floodplains that have additional hazards associated with storm waves. Whole-foot BFEs derived from the detailed hydraulic analyses are shown at selected intervals within this zone.

## Zone X

Zone X is the flood insurance risk zone that corresponds to areas outside the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain, areas within the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain, areas of 1-percent-annual-chance flooding where average depths are less than 1 foot, areas of 1-percent-annual-chance flooding where the contributing drainage area is less than 1 square mile, and areas protected from the 1-percent-annual-chance flood by levees. No BFEs or base flood depths are shown within this zone.

## Zone D

Zone D is the flood insurance risk zone that corresponds to unstudied areas where flood hazards are undetermined, but possible.

## **6.0 FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP**

The FIRM is designed for flood insurance and floodplain management applications.

For flood insurance applications, the map designates flood insurance risk zones as described in Section 5.0 and, in the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplains that were studied by detailed methods, shows selected whole-foot BFEs or average depths. Insurance agents use the zones and BFEs in conjunction with information on structures and their contents to assign premium rates for flood insurance policies.

For floodplain management applications, the map shows by tints, screens, and symbols, the 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplains, floodways, and the locations of selected cross sections used in the hydraulic analyses and floodway computations.

Historical data relating to the maps prepared for each community are presented in Table 6.

COMMUNITY NAME	INITIAL IDENTIFICATION	FLOOD HAZARD BOUNDARY MAP REVISION DATE	FIRM EFFECTIVE DATE	FIRM REVISION DATE
<sup>*1</sup> Kachemak, City of		None		None
Kenai, City of	May 19, 1981	None	May 19, 1981	July 5, 1983 December 6, 1999
Kenai Peninsula, Borough of	May 19, 1981	None	May 19, 1981	July 5, 1983 June 3, 1988 December 6, 1999
<sup>1</sup> Seldovia, City of		None		None
Seward, City of	May 19, 1981	None	May 19, 1981	None
Soldotna, City of	December 6, 1999	None	December 6, 1999	None

\*No Special Flood Hazard Areas Identified

<sup>1</sup>Not a NFIP Community

**TABLE 6**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**COMMUNITY MAP HISTORY**

## **7.0 OTHER STUDIES**

Flood Insurance Studies have been performed for Seward, Soldotna, and Seldovia (References 32, 33, and 34, respectively). For Seldovia, in the 1999 FIS, the study area was increased to include the area where Trene Lake flows out to Kachemak Bay. Except for this area, the Coastal High Hazard runups to elevations of 37.3 feet (28 feet MLLW) and 34.3 feet (23 feet MLLW), in areas sheltered from runup but subject to tidal influence, were used from the previous study. The actual flood boundary differs from the March 1974 study at Seldovia due to the new mapping. The 1974 study mapping had no contour lines; thus, flood lines were not exact. Another change is the designation of the flood zone in Seldovia Bay. It was called Zone A in 1974 but is Zone V under the later coastal criteria guidelines. The original flood boundary at Seward (Reference 32) added the surge and runup to the highest observed tide. For the 1999 FIS, surge and runup were added to a calculated 1-percent-annual-chance tide which is less than reported previously.

There are several Floodplain Information reports for Kenai River (References 23, 24, and 25). This study generally agrees with those reports.

This study is also in general agreement with previously published Flood Hazard Boundary Maps for the Cities of Soldotna and Kenai (References 35 and 36, respectively).

This report either supersedes or is compatible with all previous studies on streams studied in this report and should be considered authoritative for purposes of the NFIP.

## **8.0 LOCATION OF DATA**

Information concerning the pertinent data used in the preparation of this study can be obtained by contacting FEMA, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Division, Federal Regional Center, 130 228<sup>th</sup> Street Southwest, Bothell, WA 98021-8627.

## 9.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES

1. U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, Atlas HA-455, Glacier-Dammed Lakes and Outburst Floods in Alaska, 1971
2. U.S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Waterways Experiment Station, Technical Report H-74-3, Vicksburg, Mississippi, May 1974
3. State of Alaska, Division of Planning and Research, Alaska Regional Profiles, South Central Region, Pouch AD, Juneau, Alaska, 1974
4. U.S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Technical Memorandum No. 25, The Tsunami of the Alaskan Earthquake, 1964, Engineering Evaluation, May 1968
5. U.S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Alaska District, Water Resources Development in Alaska, 1977
6. U.S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, North Pacific Engineer Division, SSARR-Streamflow Synthesis and Reservoir Regulation, Portland, Oregon, September 1972
7. U.S. Water Resources Council, “Guidelines for Determining Flood Flow Frequencies”, Bulletin 17, March 1976
8. U.S. Geologic Society, Estimating the Magnitude and Frequency of Peak Streamflows for Ungaged Sites on Streams in Alaska and Conterminous Basins in Canada, Water-Resources Investigations Report 03-4188, 2003
9. Northwest Hydraulic Consultants, Inc., Technical Memorandum, Hydrology for Floodplain Insurance Restudy of City of Seward, Kenai Peninsula Borough, Alaska, November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2007
10. U.S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, HEC-2 Water-Surface Profiles, 723-02A User’s Manual, Davis, California, November 1976
11. U.S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, HEC-RAS, User’s Manual, Version 4.0, Davis, California, March 2008
12. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Topographic Map, Scale 1:2400, Contour Intervals 5 and 10 feet: Port Graham, Alaska (1967)
13. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Topographic Map, Scale 1:2400, Contour Intervals 2 and 10 feet: English Bay, Alaska (1967)

14. City of Seward, Topographic Map, Scale 1:2400, Contour Interval 2 feet: City of Seward, Alaska (1975)
15. Kenai Peninsula Borough, Topographic Map, Scale 1:4800, Contour Intervals 2 and 10 feet, Mean Lower Low Water Level (MLLW) datum: City of Seldovia, Alaska
16. Kenai Peninsula Borough, Topographic Map, Scale 1:4800, Contour Interval 5 feet: Kasilof River, Alaska
17. Kenai Peninsula Borough, Topographic Map, Scale 1:12,000, Contour Interval 10 feet: North Kenai Peninsula
18. U.S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Alaska District, Topographic Map, Scale 1:4800, Contour Interval 5 feet: Kenai River, Alaska (1965)
19. U.S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Topographic Map, Scale 1:12,000: Homer Spit, Alaska
20. U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, 15-Minute Series Topographic Maps, Scale 1:63,360, Contour Interval 100 feet: Seward, Alaska B7, B8, C7, D7, and D8 (1951); Seward, Alaska A7 (1950); Seldovia, Alaska D5 (1961)
21. U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, 15-Minute Series Topographic Maps, Scale 1:63,360, Contour Interval 50 feet: Kenai, Alaska A5, B4, C3, C4, D3, and D4 (1951)
22. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Topographic Map, Scale 1:2400, Contour Interval 5 feet: Homer, Alaska
23. U.S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Flood Plain Information Report, Kenai River, 1967
24. U.S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Flood Plain Information Report, Kenai River-Phase One, 1973
25. U.S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Flood Plain Information Report, Kenai River-Phase Two, 1975
26. U.S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Shore Protection Manual, 1973
27. U.S. Department of the Interior, Coast and Geodetic Survey, Navigation Charts, Kenai Peninsula, Alaska
28. U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Climatic Service, Annual Reports, Environmental Data and Information Service, Asheville, North Carolina

29. U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Ocean Survey Tidal Datum, 1973
30. Cline and Associates, Seward FIS Surveys Control Statement, February 18<sup>th</sup>, 2008
31. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Guidelines for Identifying Coastal High Hazard Zones, Galveston District, Galveston, Texas, June 1975
32. Federal Emergency Management Agency, Federal Insurance Administration, Flood Insurance Study, City of Seward, Kenai Peninsula Borough, Alaska, unpublished
33. Federal Emergency Management Agency, Federal Insurance Administration, Flood Insurance Study, City of Soldotna-Kenai River, Kenai Peninsula Borough, Alaska, unpublished
34. Federal Emergency Management Agency, Federal Insurance Administration, Flood Insurance Study, City of Seldovia, Kenai Peninsula Borough, Alaska, unpublished
35. U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Federal Insurance Administration, Flood Hazard Boundary Map, City of Soldotna, Kenai Peninsula Borough, Alaska, 1974
36. U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Federal Insurance Administration, Flood Hazard Boundary Map, City of Kenai, Kenai Peninsula Borough, Alaska, 1974
37. U.S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, HEC-RAS River Analysis System Computer Program, Davis, California, April 1997
38. U.S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Topographic Map, Scale 1:12,000, Contour Interval 2 feet, Kenai Peninsula Borough, Alaska, January 1997

## **10.0 REVISIONS DESCRIPTION**

This section has been added to provide information regarding significant revisions made since the original FIS and FIRM were printed. Future revisions may be made that do not result in the republishing of the FIS report. All users are advised to contact the Community Map Repository at the address below to obtain the most up-to-date flood hazard data.

Donald E. Gilman River Center  
514 Funny River Road  
Soldotna, AK 99669

### **10.1 First Revision (Revised December 6, 1999)**

This study was revised on December 6, 1999, to reflect corrections made to the topographic mapping on which the original hydraulic model was based. This is a restudy of approximately 5 miles of the Kenai River from approximately 4,500 feet downstream of the confluence with Shikok Creek to approximately 6.1 miles downstream of the confluence with Shikok Creek. This area is commonly referred to as Big Eddy. The Kenai River is within the boundaries of the Kenai Peninsula Borough.

The hydrologic and hydraulic analyses for the restudy were performed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), Alaska District, for the Federal Emergency Management Agency, under Interagency Agreement No. EMW-96-IA-0195, Project Order No. 9. This work was completed in December 1997.

In November 1995, the lower portion of the Kenai River experienced a flood event that approximated the 1-percent-annual-chance flood discharge figure used in the original study. While the water levels in the Big Eddy area were well below the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain elevations identified in the original study, significant flooding occurred, inundating land identified as being above the 1-percent-annual-chance flood event. Subsequent investigations indicated an error in a portion of the topographic mapping on which the hydraulic model was based, necessitating the restudy.

The results of this restudy were reviewed at a final Consultation Coordination Officer meeting held on December 2, 1998, and attended by representatives of Kenai Peninsula Borough, USACE, Alaska Department of Community and Regional Affairs, and FEMA. All problems raised at that meeting have been addressed in this restudy.

A hydrologic analysis was done to establish peak discharge-frequency relationships for the Kenai River. Peak discharges were determined using U.S. Geological Survey stream-gaging records for the Kenai River at Cooper Landing (1947 to 1996) and the Kenai River at Soldotna (1965 to 1996). Peak discharges

for the glacier dam releases or “Jokulhlaups” were obtained from the National Weather Service river forecast office.

Water-surface elevations for the Kenai River were computed using the USACE HEC-RAS computer program (Reference 37).

The hydraulic analysis was performed using the USACE HEC-RAS computer program (Reference 37). The restudy model was calibrated against the November 1995 flood, the elevation of which had been surveyed in detail shortly after the flood. The restudy identified a new 1-percent-annual-chance discharge of 42,300 cubic feet per second, which is based on additional years of gaging records. This flow is higher than the 1-percent-annual-chance discharge determined by the original study. However, the new 1-percent-annual-chance discharge and resultant flood profile are applicable only to the restudy area.

Cross sections for the Kenai River were determined using topographic maps at a scale of 1:12,000, with a contour interval of 2 feet (Reference 38), supplemented by field surveys and actual flood watermarks placed by many residents during the flood. All waterway-opening and invert elevations through bridges and culverts were obtained through field surveys.

Manning’s “n” roughness values were established based on field observations and engineering judgment. Channel roughness values along the Kenai River were 0.040. Overbank roughness values ranged from 0.040 to 0.080.

Starting water-surface elevations for the Kenai River were computed using the slope-area method.

Table 1, “Floods of Record on the Kenai Peninsula”, Table 2, “Summary of Discharges”, Table 5, “Floodway Data”, and Exhibit 1, “Flood Profiles”, were revised to reflect changes as a result of the restudy.

## 10.2 Second Revision (Revised September 27, 2013)

Bear Creek, Grouse Creek, Kwechak Creek, Resurrection River, Salmon Creek, and Sawmill Creek were modeled and mapped for FEMA Flood Insurance Studies within the City of Seward, Alaska and adjacent Borough lands. Northwest Hydraulic Consultants, Inc. (NHC) completed this work in December 2009. The work was conducted under IDIQ Contract EMS-2001-CO-0067 Task #28.

Peak discharge quantiles were updated for these six detailed study reaches. Discharge quantiles were initially estimated using regional regression equations developed by the USGS (Reference 8). These data were evaluated against observations of extreme peak discharges resulting from surge-release floods (i.e. debris dam failures) or other anomalous events and appropriate adjustments were then made to the peak flows (Reference 9).

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' HEC-RAS Version 4.0 was used for hydraulic modeling of the reaches. Cross sections for these models were cut from 2-foot contours provided by the Kenai Peninsula Borough, and augmented with in-stream survey and bridge soundings completed during the period of October to December 2007. Manning's 'n' roughness values were selected based on professional judgment and comparing channel characteristics observed at the site to photographs of channels with computed 'n' values. Each of the six study reaches are detailed in the following paragraphs.

### Bear Creek

Bear Creek is a detailed study covering the 1 mile reach from Bear Lake to Salmon Creek. A Manning's 'n' value of 0.04 was used within channel banks, 0.065 in the overbanks, and 0.085 in wooded overbanks upstream of the Bear Creek Road Culvert. The Bear Creek Fish weir and the fish hatchery were represented as physical features in the modeling. Both the 1-percent and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries are completely contained within the incised channel corridor between Seward Highway and Bear Creek Road crossing. The floodway and floodplain are also coincident within this reach. For all other portions of Beer Creek, floodways were encroached to the channel banks without exceeding a 1-foot rise.

### Grouse Creek

Grouse Creek is a limited detailed study extending approximately 0.6 miles from Grouse Lake to the confluence with Salmon Creek (where Grouse and Lost Creeks combine to become Salmon Creek). The downstream boundary conditions are based on assuming a coincident peak between Lost and Grouse Creeks because of similar drainage areas, 8.6 and 6 square miles, respectively (Table 2). The boundary condition elevations were taken from the upstream end of the Salmon Creek modeling. A check using a normal depth downstream boundary condition resulted in water surface elevations that are generally within a half a foot of those taken from the Salmon Creek modeling. Manning's roughness values of 0.05 and 0.1 were used for Grouse Creek in-channel and over bank areas, respectively. A floodway was not developed for Grouse Creek.

### Kwechak Creek

Kwechak Creek is a detailed study extending approximately 2.5 upstream from the confluence with Salmon Creek. Manning's roughness values of 0.05 and 0.065 were used for Kwechak Creek in-channel and overbank areas, respectively. For the 0.2-percent annual chance event, a flow split was modeled starting upstream of the Bruno Street bridge and reconnecting with Kwechak Creek about 0.2 miles upstream of the confluence with Salmon Creek. Manning's roughness values of 0.065 and 0.085 were used in the split for the in-channel and overbank areas, respectively. The 1-percent-annual-chance and lower peak flows are not high enough to flow into this side channel. Cross-sections in the lower portion of the Kwechak Creek model are common with the Salmon Creek model. The lower part of the Kwechak Creek reach is perched, with earthen berms restricting flood water from reaching lower ground in the overbanks. Therefore, the final modeling results account for a "without levee" simulation that follow FEMA guidelines and assumes that these berms do not provide any flood protection for the 1-percent-annual-chance event. The without left levee failure of Kwechak Creek results in flows connecting with the left overbank of Salmon Creek (between river stations AU and BX). This flow is maintained in the overbank of Salmon Creek and intermittent high ground limits the flow from reaching the Salmon Creek main channel. Therefore, BFEs are different between the left overbank and main channel of Salmon Creek. This is reflected in the Salmon Creek Flood Profiles for the 1-percent-annual-chance flood. The floodway boundary encroaches to the banks of Kwechak Creek.

### Resurrection River

Resurrection River is a detailed study extending approximately 3.0 miles upstream from Resurrection Bay. Manning's 'n' values of 0.035 to 0.1 in the overbanks, 0.035 to 0.04 in-channel were based on historical models, comparison of aerial photographs and channel characteristics observed onsite to photographs of channels with computed 'n' values, and professional judgment. A floodway analysis was completed to match effective floodway boundaries then adjusted as needed to produce up to a one-foot rise.

### Salmon Creek

Salmon Creek is a detailed study covering the reach 6.5 miles upstream from Resurrection Bay. Manning's roughness values were selected based on field observations and comparison of channel photos. Manning's 'n'-values of 0.045 to 0.05 were used within main channel banks, with overbank 'n' values ranging from 0.055 to 0.12.

Three flow splits were also modeled; Salmon Creek Overflow, Salmon Creek Split, and Salmon Creek / Resurrection River Split.

The most upstream split, called Salmon Creek Overflow, occurs above Seward Highway. During high flows, water escapes the right bank and enters a swale running roughly parallel and to the west of the mainstem. Approximately 1 mile downstream, Salmon Creek Overflow passes through a pair of culverts in the Seward Highway. The side channel then continues roughly another 0.7 miles downstream before connecting back to the mainstem channel. Intermittent high ground between the Salmon Creek Overflow and Salmon Creek mainstem restricts flow passing between the two reaches. This results in different BFEs on the two reaches. Manning's 'n' values of 0.04 to 0.08 were used within main channel banks, with overbank 'n' values ranging from 0.08 to 0.10.

The second flow split, called Salmon Creek Split, occurs upstream of the railroad bridge (approximate 3.6 miles upstream of Resurrection Bay) where low ground allows flow to escape the left bank (to the south of the main channel). Salmon Creek Split runs roughly parallel to the railroad grade, and follows Salmon Creek Road, before reconnecting with the Salmon Creek mainstem approximately 1.4 miles upstream of Resurrection Bay where the Seward Highway and railroad grade crosses over Salmon Creek. Manning's 'n' values of 0.065 and 0.08 were used within the main channel banks and overbank areas, respectively.

The third split, called Salmon Creek/Resurrection River Split, is located downstream of where water overtops the Seward Highway, on the right bank of Salmon Creek upstream of the Seward Highway and railroad grade bridge crossing over Salmon Creek. This split channel connects at the downstream end to the Resurrection River. The Seward Highway hinders, to some extent, the 1-percent-annual-chance event from flooding the downstream area. Because the roadway is not certified for flood protection the final modeling results account for a "without levee" simulation that follows FEMA guidelines and assumes that the roadway does not limit flow into the Salmon Creek/Resurrection River Split. Manning's 'n' values used within the split reach range from 0.045 to 0.075.

A floodway was developed for Salmon Creek and the various split channels by starting with the effective floodway boundary and then making revisions to reflect newer topography and simulate up to a one-foot rise in the 1-percent-annual-chance peak flow.

### Sawmill Creek

Sawmill Creek is a limited detailed study covering the reach 2 miles upstream from Resurrection Bay. Manning's 'n' values of 0.05 were used

within channel banks and 0.085 in the overbanks. Both the 1-percent and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries are completely contained within the incised channel corridor in the upper reaches of Sawmill Creek.

The main branch of Sawmill Creek passes beneath the Nash Road Bridge and into Resurrection Bay through a channel confined on the right bank by an earthen berm. The final modeling results account for a “without levee” simulation that follow FEMA guidelines and assume that this berm does not provide any flood protection for the 1-percent-annual-chance event. Without the berm, flow inundates the right overbank area downstream of Nash Road. A floodway was not developed for Sawmill Creek.

A little more than half-a-mile upstream of Resurrection Bay, a low left bank allows an appreciable amount of flow to escape into a swale running along the north side of Nash Road. This flow split was modeled as a separate reach, referred to as Sawmill Creek Split, and passes through a series of culverts before ultimately emptying into Resurrection Bay.

The HEC-RAS model contains a lateral structure that allows for an interchange of flow between Sawmill Creek and the upstream cross sections of Sawmill Creek Split. A second lateral structure allows for flows in Sawmill Creek Split to short-circuit the normal reach length through a culvert under Nash Road.


The floodway was computed in HEC-RAS using the equal conveyance reduction methodology. Encroachment stations were computed at each cross section and the floodway boundaries were interpolated between cross sections.

Due to the lateral structure at the upstream end of Sawmill Creek Split, the encroachment at Cross Sections G through I results in a reduction of flow into Sawmill Creek Split, which, in turn, affects the downstream water surface elevations of both Sawmill Creek and Sawmill Creek Split. In order to minimize these impacts, the encroachment stations at these cross sections were set at the maximum cross section extents, and the regulatory floodway widths included in the Floodway Data Table are based on the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain width. In addition, the floodway boundary between Cross Sections H and I was delineated to include the overflow area between the two streams to prevent encroachment into the overflow area resulting in adverse impacts to Sawmill Creek.

Locations of selected cross sections used in the hydraulic analyses are shown on the Flood Profiles (Exhibit 1). For stream segments for which a floodway was computed, selected cross section locations are also shown on the FIRM (Exhibit 2).


As part of this second revision, the format of the map panels has changed. Previously, flood-hazard information was shown on both the Flood

Insurance Rate Map and Flood Boundary and Floodway Map. In the new format, all base flood elevations, cross sections, zone designations, and floodplain and floodway boundary delineations are shown on the Flood Insurance Rate Map and the Flood Boundary and Floodway Map has been eliminated. Some of the flood insurance zone designations were changed to reflect the new format. Areas previously shown as numbered Zone A were changed to Zone AE. Areas previously shown as Zone B were changed to Zone X (shaded). Areas previously shown as Zone C were changed to Zone X (unshaded). In addition, all Flood Insurance Zone Data Tables were removed from the FIS report and all zone designations and reach determinations were removed from the profile panels.




**FLOOD PROFILES**  
**BEAR CREEK**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS




**FLOOD PROFILES**  
BEAR CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS



**FLOOD PROFILES**  
BEAR CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS




**FLOOD PROFILES**

BEAR CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
 KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS






**FLOOD PROFILES**  
GROUSE CREEK


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS

LEGEND	
	0.2% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD
	1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD
	2% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD
	10% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD
	STREAM BED
	CROSS SECTION




**FLOOD PROFILES**  
**KASILOF RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**




**FLOOD PROFILES**  
**KASILOF RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**



**FLOOD PROFILES**  
**KASILOF RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**




FLOOD PROFILES

KASILOF RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY


KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK






FLOOD PROFILES  
KENAI RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
(KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH)




FLOOD PROFILES  
**KENAI RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**  
(KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH)




FLOOD PROFILES  
KENAI RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
(KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH)



**FLOOD PROFILES  
KENAI RIVER**

**FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
(KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH)**




FLOOD PROFILES  
**KENAI RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**  
(KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH)








**FLOOD PROFILES**

**KENAI RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**




FLOOD PROFILES

KENAI RIVER


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK



**FLOOD PROFILES**  
**KENAI RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**




**FLOOD PROFILES**

**KENAI RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**




**FLOOD PROFILES**

**KENAI RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**






**FLOOD PROFILES**

**KENAI RIVER**


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**



**FLOOD PROFILES**  
**KENAI RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**




**FLOOD PROFILES**

**KENAI RIVER**


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**



**FLOOD PROFILES**  
**KENAI RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**




FLOOD PROFILES

KENAI RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK




**FLOOD PROFILES**

**KENAI RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**




**FLOOD PROFILES**

**KENAI RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY


**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**



**FLOOD PROFILES**  
**KENAI RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**

REACH 2  
ZONE A5




**LEGEND**

- 500-YEAR FLOOD
- 100-YEAR FLOOD
- 50-YEAR FLOOD
- 10-YEAR FLOOD
- STREAMBED
- CROSS SECTION LOCATION

**FLOOD PROFILES**  
**KENAI RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**




**FLOOD PROFILES**

**KENAI RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**

REACH 3  
ZONE A3



**LEGEND**


- 500-YEAR FLOOD
- 100-YEAR FLOOD
- 50-YEAR FLOOD
- 10-YEAR FLOOD
- STREAMBED
- CROSS SECTION LOCATION

**FLOOD PROFILES**  
**KENAI RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**






**FLOOD PROFILES**

**KENAI RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**




**FLOOD PROFILES**

**KENAI RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**




FLOOD PROFILES

KENAI RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK




**FLOOD PROFILES**

**KENAI RIVER**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY


**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**





**FLOOD PROFILES**  
**KWECHAK CREEK (WITH LEVEES)**


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**  
**AND INCORPORATED AREAS**



**FLOOD PROFILES**

**KWECHAK CREEK (WITH LEVEES)**


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS



**FLOOD PROFILES**

**KWECHAK CREEK (WITH LEVEES)**


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**  
**AND INCORPORATED AREAS**



**FLOOD PROFILES**


**KWECHAK CREEK (WITH LEVEES)**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS



**FLOOD PROFILES**  
KWECHAK CREEK (WITHOUT LEVEES)


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS



**FLOOD PROFILES**


**KWECHAK CREEK (WITHOUT LEVEES)**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOUROUGH  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS**




**FLOOD PROFILES**  
RESURRECTION RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS



**FLOOD PROFILES**  
RESURRECTION RIVER


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS



**FLOOD PROFILES**  
RESURRECTION RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS






**FLOOD PROFILES**


SALMON CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
 KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS




**FLOOD PROFILES**  
SALMON CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS



**FLOOD PROFILES**  
SALMON CREEK


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS



**FLOOD PROFILES**


**SALMON CREEK**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS**



**FLOOD PROFILES**  
SALMON CREEK


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS




**FLOOD PROFILES**

**SALMON CREEK**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS**




FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS



**FLOOD PROFILES**  
SALMON CREEK


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK**  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS






**FLOOD PROFILES**  
SALMON CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS



**FLOOD PROFILES**  
SALMON CREEK


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS



**FLOOD PROFILES**

SALMON CREEK


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
 KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS



**FLOOD PROFILES**

SALMON CREEK OVERFLOW

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
 KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS




LEGEND	
	0.2% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD
	1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD
	2% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD
	10% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD
	STREAM BED
	CROSS SECTION

**FLOOD PROFILES**

SALMON CREEK OVERFLOW

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
 KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS




**FLOOD PROFILES**

SALMON RESURRECTION SPLIT

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
 KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS






**FLOOD PROFILES**

**SAWMILL CREEK**


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOURGHI, AK  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS**



**FLOOD PROFILES**


SAWMILL CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
 KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS



FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
 KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS


**FLOOD PROFILES**  
 SAWMILL CREEK



**FLOOD PROFILES**

**SAWMILL CREEK**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS**



**FLOOD PROFILES**  
SAWMILL CREEK SPLIT

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH, AK  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS